## **ASSESSMENT OF GREEN BELT PARCELS**

# **GENERAL PARCEL ASSESSMENTS**

# PART TWO (LANCASTER, MORECAMBE & SLYNE-WITH-HEST)



# **CONTENTS**

| 1. | Lancaster       | Page 3  |
|----|-----------------|---------|
| 2. | Morecambe       | Page 41 |
| 3. | Slyne-with-Hest | Page 71 |

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN01  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the West of Endslei                                | igh Grove  |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES: NORTH: EAST: SOUTH: WEST:                           | S. C.  |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED                                      | D SDDAWI OF LADGE BUILT UD ADEAS   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?</li> <li>Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?</li> </ul>  | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains no development and but does not form part of the wider tract of  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL                             | <ul> <li>Is the parcel free from development?</li> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> <li>Does this land form an extensive tract of land?</li> </ul>  | countryside due to the presence of the link road. The parcel adjoins the built up area along its eastern boundary which consists of the rear of gardens of residential  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF<br>THE SETTLEMENT<br>OVERALL ASSESSMENT | <ul> <li>How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?</li> <li>Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | properties, If the adjoining parcels to the north were developed then the development of this parcel would provide the opportunity to round off the settlement  |
|  |  | pattern.  |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  | ACCICCMITAIT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL   | <ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> </ul> | ASSESSMENT  Weak Contribution. The parcel is part of a small less essential gap between the settlements of Lancaster (Skerton) and Morecambe (Torrisholme). However, this does not represent a strategic gap between settlements due to the significant level of development which has taken place to the south. Ribbon development has already occurred on one side of this road which further undermines the value of this gap. |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or<br>boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Weak Contribution. There is no obvious use of the land in this area although historically it has been used for horse grazing. The GB boundaries in this area are the rear of properties which are considered to be a weak feature.  The new Link Road has severed the parcels connection  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | The new Link Road has severed the parcels connection with the wider countryside to the North. The elevated nature of this land does provide some open views on the countryside to the north beyond the link road.   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |   |  |  |
| What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |  |  |
| ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |  |  |
| CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Whilst Lancaster is considered to be a Historic Town, this parcel does not play a role in preserving the setting or historic character of the town.  |  |  |
| What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | No historical assets can be seen from this parcel.  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |  |  |
| CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster. Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a moderate  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield</li> </ul> |  |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

**WEAK CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, weak contribution to three purposes and moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel scores weakly to a number of purposes and is highly effected by the proximity and presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from the wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LANO2                 |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Barley | Cop Lane, Skerton, Lancaster  |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      | cop zane, one con, zaneasce   |   |
| NORTH:                                  |   |   |
| EAST:                                   |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                  |   |   |
| WEST:                                   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains no development and         |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | but does not form part of the wider tract of countryside due to   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | Is the parcel free from development?  | the presence of the link road which forms a strong northern       |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | boundary. The parcel is on a road corridor but does not have a    |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   | role in preventing ribbon development as it is not on a road      |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   | corridor.   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel does not perform a function of |
|   | between named settlements?  | separating two towns.   |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?  |   |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   |   |
|   | from the Green Belt?  |   |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |   |
| DOUND A DV FEATURES                     | separate settlement areas?  |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  houndary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements? |   |
| OVERALL ACCECCATENT                     | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|   |   |   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING       | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Weak Contribution. The parcel has been significantly affected     |
|   | the boundary consist of?  | by the proximity of the Link Road and whilst the parcel itself    |
|   |   |   |

|  | <ul><li>sites (capacity)?</li><li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li></ul>     | therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.            |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield   | Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel     |  |  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?   | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.         |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |  |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b>                                 | PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND                                  |   |  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | from this parcel.   |  |  |
| SETTING  | character of the historic town?   | historic character of the town. No historical assets can be seen    |  |  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND  | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special  | Town, this parcel does not play a role in preserving the setting or |  |  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND   | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | No Contribution. Whilst Lancaster is considered to be a Historic    |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING AND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN |   |   |  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | 1   |  |  |
|  | safeguarded?  |   |  |  |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |   |  |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  | What is the existing land use within the parcel?  | -   |  |  |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area:     What is the parcels relationship with the countryside? |   |  |  |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?  |   |  |  |
| (OPENESS)  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?                                     |   |  |  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  Page the existing land uses of the Green Belt which the latter.           |   |  |  |
| EVICTING LIDDAN SEATURES   | prevent encroachment in the long term?  | impact on the openness of the parcel.                               |  |  |
|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and   | been severed. The surrounding infrastructure significantly          |  |  |
|  | What do the other boundaries consist of?  | remains open the linkages to wider areas of countryside have        |  |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to two purposes, weak contribution to two purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LANO3               |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Lancaster Crematorium, Sk | erton, Lancaster   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                    |  |  |
| NORTH:                                |  |  |
| EAST:                                 |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                |  |  |
| WEST:                                 |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED     | CODAMI OF LADGE BUILT UP ADEAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                    | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains some development                |
| KIBBON DEVELOPIVIENT                  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   | associated with the crematorium. The parcel is in close                |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN       |  | proximity to the built up area of Lancaster but does not directly      |
| THE PARCEL                            | Is the parcel free from development?  Place the parcel have a series of execut from unbanising features?  Output  Description: | adjoin any development. It does not form part of a wider tract of      |
| THE PARCEL                            | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  One of the lead form an extension treat of lead?             | countryside. The parcel is on a road corridor but does not have a      |
| ODDODT! INITIES FOR DOUBLDING OFF     | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  | role in preventing ribbon development as it is not on a road           |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF        | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  | corridor.  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                        | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING       | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap   | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of             |
|                                       | between named settlements?   | separating two towns.  |
|                                       | What is the current gap between these settlements?   |  |
|                                       | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed  |  |
|                                       | from the Green Belt?   |  |
|                                       | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly   |  |
|                                       | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |  |
|                                       | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
| DUDDOCE 2. ACCICT IN CAFECUADDING     | THE COUNTRYCIDE FROM FNCROACHNENT  |  |
|                                       | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  | ACCECCATAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT Wook Contribution The Crematerium, whilst clearly conving a |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?                             | Weak Contribution. The Crematorium, whilst clearly serving a           |
|                                       | the boundary consist of?   | highly valued amenity purpose, is not considered to serve any          |

|  | <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul> | sort of defined Green Belt purpose. This parcel does not form a wider tract of countryside. The structures and tree coverage in this parcel significantly impact on the openness of the parcel. |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)  | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>              |   |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul>                             |   |  |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>            |   |  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING AND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN                 |  |   |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |  |  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND   | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | <b>No Contribution.</b> Whilst Lancaster is considered to be a Historic   |  |  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND  | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | Town, this parcel does not play a role in preserving the setting or   |  |  |
| SETTING  | character of the historic town?  | historic character of the town. No historical assets can be seen  |  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | from this parcel.   |  |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND |  |   |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |  |  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.   |  |  |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield  | Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel   |  |  |
|  | sites (capacity)?  | therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to two purposes, weak contribution to two purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN04                       |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Where        | nside Road, Skerton, Lancaster  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                            |   |  |
| NORTH:  |   |  |
| EAST:   |   |  |
| SOUTH:  |   |  |
| WEST:   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED             | D SDRAMI OF LARGE RUILT LID AREAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                      | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                            | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains no development.             |
| KIBBON DEVELOPIVIENT                          | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | However it is not part of a wider tract of countryside given it is |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN               | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | enclosed and contained by a large built-up area along three        |
| THE PARCEL                                    | Is the parcel free from development?  Page the page   have a company of approved from unbegining factures?    The page   have a company of approved from unbegining factures? | boundaries. The parcel is surrounded by development on             |
| THE PARCEL                                    | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?      Does this lead form an extraction treat of lead?  | multiple sides. It provides the opportunity to round off the       |
| ODDODTH MITTER FOR DOLLMOING OFF              | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   | settlement pattern, The parcel adjoins residential development     |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   | along the eastern and southern boundaries with the western         |
|   | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   | boundary adjoining sports pitches. The parcel does not have a      |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                            | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | role in preventing ribbon development as it is not on a road       |
|   |   | corridor.  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING               | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                      | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                        | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of         |
|   | between named settlements?  | separating two towns.  |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?  |  |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   |  |
|   | from the Green Belt?  |  |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |  |
|   | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                             | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                            | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   |   |  |
| DUDDOSE 2: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING             | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                      | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                             |   | Weak Contribution. The parcel has a strong relationship with       |
| BOUNDART FEATURES                             | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?  | the surrounding settlement area, boundaries tend to be the rear    |
|   | the boundary consist of?  | the surrounding settlement area, boundaries tend to be the rear    |

|  | What do the other boundaries consist of?   | of properties which are considered to be a weak feature which     |  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and                        | in places lack uniformity and are vulnerable to future            |  |
|  | prevent encroachment in the long term?   | encroachment. This parcel does not form a wider tract of          |  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | countryside. The topography of the parcel, which slopes upward    |  |
| (OPENESS)  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                 | in the middle, does not provide open views.                       |  |
|  | safeguarded?   |   |  |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?                           |   |  |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?                                   |   |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |   |  |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                 |   |  |
|  | safeguarded?   |   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                |   |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING AND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN |  |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND   | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?                      | Weak Contribution. The parcel is directly adjacent to the         |  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND  | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special                 | historic town of Lancaster. The Ashton Memorial can be seen       |  |
| SETTING  | character of the historic town?  | from certain points within the parcel and surrounding residential |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                | developments impact on this view.                                 |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG  | PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?                                  | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.       |  |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield                  | Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel   |  |
|  | sites (capacity)?  | therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.          |  |
| 1  |  |   |  |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                |   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, weak contribution to three purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north. The parcel has a strong relationship to the adjoining residential areas.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LANO5                |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Water | y Lane, Skerton, Lancaster  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     | •   |  |
| NORTH:                                 |   |  |
| EAST:                                  |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                 |   |  |
| WEST:                                  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE       | D CDDANNI OE LADGE DINIT IID ADEAC  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | -   |  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?      Heaths according to the part of the property of the property of the part of th | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains no development.  However it is not part of a wider tract of countryside given it is |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?      The the parcel for a force development and the parcel for a force development.  | enclosed and contained by a large built-up area along two  |
| THE PARCEL                             | • Is the parcel free from development?  | boundaries. The parcel adjoins development to the south. The   |
| THE PARCEL                             | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | parcel is on a road corridor but does not have a role in   |
| ODDODTHAUTIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   | preventing ribbon development.   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   | preventing masen developments  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING        | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
|  | between named settlements?  | separating two towns.  |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  |  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   |  |
|  | from the Green Belt?  |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |  |
|  | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| DUDDOCE 2. ACCICT IN CAFECULA DOING    | THE COUNTRYCIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
|  | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   | ACCECCAGENT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT Woods Contribution. The parcel has some relationship with the   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what     the boundary consist of?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel has some relationship with the   |
|  | the boundary consist of?  | surrounding settlement area. The GB boundary to the East is the  |

|  | <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul> | WCML which is a strong feature, the southern boundary is the rear of properties on Watery Labe which is considered to be much weaker and vulnerable to future encroachment. This |
|--|--|--|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | parcel does not form a wider tract of countryside.   |
| (OPENESS)  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be coforwarded?  |  |
|  | safeguarded?   |  |
|  | <ul><li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li><li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li></ul>                                |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING AND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN                 |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND   | • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is directly adjacent to the  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND  | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving  |
| SETTING  | character of the historic town?  | the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | from the parcel.   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | • Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.  |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield  | Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel  |
|  | sites (capacity)?  | therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.   |
|  | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, weak contribution to three purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north. The parcel has a strong relationship to the adjoining residential areas.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LANO6                |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Shake | speare Road, Skerton, Lancaster   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     | Specific flows, shellest, Lambasco.   |   |
| NORTH:                                 |   |   |
| EAST:                                  |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                 |   |   |
| WEST:                                  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED      | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Moderate Contribution.  |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | The parcel contains no development however it is not part of a  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Is the parcel free from development?  | wider tract of countryside given that the northern boundary of  |
| THE PARCEL                             | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | the link road provides a strong boundary which separates the  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   | parcel. The parcel adjoins development along its southern   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   | boundary with the large built up area. It does not provide any  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   | opportunities for rounding off the settlement pattern. The  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | parcel is located on a road corridor but it does not have a role in   |
|  |   | preventing ribbon development.  |
|  |   |   |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   | 4.005000 45017  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap  |
|  | between named settlements?  | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development   |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the settlements, however this would not result in them merging. |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     for a thin Count Bulk?  | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong  |
|  | from the Green Belt?  | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?   | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      |   |   |
| BOONDANT FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or<br>boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements? |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSIVILINI                  | what is the overall assessment of the parter in relation to this purpose:   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Moderate Contribution. The parcel has strong boundaries on all  |
|  | the boundary consist of?  | sides which will contain development and prevent  |
|  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | •   |

|   | What do the other boundaries consist of?   | encroachment in the long term. The existing land uses consists          |
|---|--|---|
|   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and  | of agricultural land. The link road severs the parcel form the          |
|   | prevent encroachment in the long term?   | wider countryside and given the raised level of the road and            |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                                   | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | topography of the parcel this significantly reduced the levels of       |
| (OPENESS)   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be   | openness.   |
|   | safeguarded?   |   |
|   | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?   |   |
|   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?   |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL                           | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |   |
|   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be   |   |
|   | safeguarded?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                         | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND                            | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is directly adjacent to the               |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND                           | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving       |
| SETTING   | character of the historic town?  | the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen         |
|   |  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | from the parcel.  |
|   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND | from the parcel.  |
|   |  | from the parcel.  ASSESSMENT  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                         | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND CRITERIA  • Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?        | ASSESSMENT  Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster. |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes weak contribution to two purposes and moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is relatively open and has significantly strong boundaries to prevent future encroachment. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LANO7              |   |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the West of Hamm | erton Hall, Skerton, Lancaster  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                   | Crown rain, sherically Editedate.   |  |
| NORTH:                               |   |  |
| EAST:                                |   |  |
| SOUTH:                               |   |  |
| WEST:                                |   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED    | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?               | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contains no development  |
|                                      | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?              | however it is not part of a wider tract of countryside given that  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN      | Is the parcel free from development?  | the northern boundary of the link road provides a strong   |
| THE PARCEL                           | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?            | boundary which separates the parcel. The parcel adjoins  |
|                                      | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                             | development along its southern boundary with the large built up  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF       | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                     | area. It does not provide any opportunities for rounding off the   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                       | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development? | settlement pattern. The parcel is not on a road corridor and   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | does not play a role in preventing ribbon development.   |
|                                      |   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
|                                      | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL               | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap   |
|                                      | between named settlements?  | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development  |
|                                      | What is the current gap between these settlements?                          | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the  |
|                                      | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.  |
|                                      | from the Green Belt?  | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong boundary which maintains the presence of the gap. |
|                                      | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly        | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.  |
| DOUND A DV FEATURES                  | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                    | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?             |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING    | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                    | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Moderate Contribution. This parcel has a wider degree of   |
|                                      | the boundary consist of?  | openness than surrounding parcels, however the influence of  |
| <u> </u>                             | ,   |  |

|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  | <ul> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield<br/>sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>                                      | Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose. |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | from this parcel.  |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?  | historic character of the town. No historical assets can be seen   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | Town, this parcel does not play a role in preserving the setting or  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | No Contribution. Whilst Lancaster is considered to be a Historic   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
|  | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | 1  |
|  | safeguarded?   |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be</li> </ul> |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?      What is the existing land use within the parcel?   | _  |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?  What is the process relationship with the countricide?                                 |  |
|  | safeguarded?   |  |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be   |  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | the link road limit the level of openness of this parcel.  |
|  | prevent encroachment in the long term?   | be agricultural land. The topography of the land and presence of   |
|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and  | wider tract of countryside. The land use in this area appears to   |
|  | What do the other boundaries consist of?   | the Link Road limits this sense and the parcel does not form a   |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to one purpose and moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is relatively open and in a agricultural use. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LANO8               |   |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Hammerton Hall, S | kerton. Lancaster   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                    |   |  |
| NORTH:                                |   |  |
| EAST:                                 |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                |   |  |
| WEST:                                 |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE      | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                    | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?               | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains some residential          |
|                                       | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?              | development relating to Hammerton Hall. The parcel does not      |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN       | Is the parcel free from development?  | form a wider tract of land due to the presence of the Link Road. |
| THE PARCEL                            | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?            | The parcel is in close proximity and does not present            |
|                                       | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                             | opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is on a road corridor |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF        | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                     | but does not play a role in preventing ribbon development.       |
| THE SETTLEMENT                        | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development? |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap         |
|                                       | between named settlements?  | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development        |
|                                       | What is the current gap between these settlements?                          | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the          |
|                                       | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.      |
|                                       | from the Green Belt?  | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong       |
|                                       | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly        | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.                |
|                                       | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
| 0.75                                  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?             |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING     | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Weak Contribution. Hammerton Hall appears to be primarily        |
|                                       | the boundary consist of?  | residential in nature and contains a range of buildings which    |
|                                       | ,   | <u> </u>   |

|                                   | <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul> | significantly affected openness. This parcel does not form a wider tract of countryside. The presence of the link road also restrict the levels of openness of the site. |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS) | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be</li> </ul>                           |  |
|                                   | safeguarded?   |  |
|                                   | <ul><li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li><li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li></ul>                                |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |  |
|                                   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND    | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is in close proximity to the historic   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | town of Lancaster but is not directly adjacent to it and does not  |
| SETTING                           | character of the historic town?  | play a role in preserving the setting of the key historical assets   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | given that these cannot be seen from the parcel.   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL      | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.  |
|                                   | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield  | Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel  |
|                                   | sites (capacity)?  | therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.   |
|                                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|                                   |  |  |

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to three purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as weak contribution. The parcel scores weakly to a number of purposes and is highly effected by the proximity and presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from the wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN09                |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the South of Hamme | erton Hall Lane, Skerton, Lancaster   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     |   |   |
| NORTH:                                 |   |   |
| EAST:                                  |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                 |   |   |
| WEST:                                  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED      | SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |   |
|  | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
|  | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is free from development. The       |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | parcel does not form a wider tract of countryside due to the      |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | • Is the parcel free from development?  | presence of both Hammerton Hall Lane and the Link Road to the     |
| THE PARCEL                             | • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | north. The parcel adjoins development along its southern          |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   | boundary with the large built up area. It does not provide any    |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   | opportunities for rounding off the settlement pattern. The        |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   | parcel is on a road corridor but does not play a role in          |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | preventing ribbon development.                                    |
|  | That is the ever an accessment of the pareet in relation to this parposer   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
|  | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | • Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap          |
|  | between named settlements?  | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development         |
|  | <ul><li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li></ul>  | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the           |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.       |
|  | from the Green Belt?  | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong        |
|  | <ul> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly<br/>separate settlement areas?</li> </ul> | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.                 |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |   |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
|  | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Weak Contribution. The parcel appears to be scrubland which is    |
|  | the boundary consist of?  | highly enclosed, there is a strong relationships with surrounding |

|                                   | What do the other boundaries consist of?                                  | urban areas. The GB boundary in this area makes use of the        |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
|                                   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and         | Lancaster Canal which is considered to be a strong and definable  |
|                                   | prevent encroachment in the long term?                                    | feature. This parcel does not form a wider tract of countryside.  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES           | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?                            |   |
| (OPENESS)                         | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |   |
|                                   | safeguarded?  |   |
|                                   | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?            |   |
|                                   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?                    |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?                          |   |
|                                   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |   |
|                                   | safeguarded?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN                                   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND    | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?       | Weak Contribution. The parcel is directly adjacent to the         |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special  | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving |
| SETTING                           | character of the historic town?   | the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? | from the parcel.  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND                   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL      | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?                   | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.       |
|                                   | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield   | Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel   |
|                                   | sites (capacity)?   | therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.          |
|                                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? |   |
|                                   |   |   |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

**WEAK CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes a weak contribution to four purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as weak contribution. The parcel scores weakly to a number of purposes and is highly effected by the proximity and presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from the wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN10              |   |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Beaumont College | and St Johns Hospice, Skerton, Lancaster  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                   | •   |  |
| NORTH:                               |   |  |
| EAST:                                |   |  |
| SOUTH:                               |   |  |
| WEST:                                |   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED    | D SDRAMI OF LARGE RUILT LID AREAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Weak Contribution. The parcel includes a range of built  |
| INDUN DEVELOTIVILINI                 | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | development which has generated a sense of sprawl in the   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN      | It is the parcel free from development?      It is the parcel free from development?  | locality. The parcel is directly adjacent to the large built up area   |
| THE PARCEL                           | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | of Lancaster. The parcel is located on Slyne Road (A6) but given   |
| METARCE                              | Does the parcer have a sense of sprawr from dibanising features:     Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  | the significant levels of ribbon development which has already   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF       |   | taken place its role in serving this purpose has been weakened.  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                       | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?      Do connect writing swift to form a more systemable nettern of development?   |  |
|                                      | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?      What is the according to the control of th |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING      | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL               | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap   |
|                                      | between named settlements?  | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development  |
|                                      | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the  |
|                                      | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.  |
|                                      | from the Green Belt?  | There is already some development in this parcel and   |
|                                      | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  | furthermore the link road to the north of the parcel provides a  |
|                                      | separate settlement areas?  | strong boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                    | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
|                                      | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|                                      |   |  |
| PLIRPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING   | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                    | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains a range of residential,   |
|                                      | the boundary consist of?  | health and educational facilities. Whilst some of these  |
|                                      | the boundary consist on   | The state of the s |

|   | What do the other boundaries consist of?   | (particularly the Hospice) have high amenity value these are not  |
|---|--|---|
|   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and  | defined Green Belt purposes. The parcel has a strong  |
|   | prevent encroachment in the long term?   | relationship with the adjacent settlement area. This parcel does  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES   | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | not form a wider tract of countryside. The uses of the site and   |
| (OPENESS)   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>  | the presence of the link road has significantly reduced the openness of the parcel.   |
|   | <ul><li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li><li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li></ul>  |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   | ]   |
|   | • Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A</b>  | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
|   |  |   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND  | • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is directly adjacent to the   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND  | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special</li> </ul>  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is directly adjacent to the historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving   |
|   | ·  |   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?   | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | <ul> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                          | <ul> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul> ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen from the parcel.  ASSESSMENT  Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  CRITERIA   | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen from the parcel.  ASSESSMENT  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  CRITERIA  Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen from the parcel.  ASSESSMENT  Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield</li> </ul> | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen from the parcel.  ASSESSMENT  Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster. Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a weak contribution to four purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as weak contribution. The parcel scores weakly to a number of purposes and is highly effected by the proximity and presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from the wider tracts of countryside to the north. This is exacerbated by the level of development within the parcel.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN11                 |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Geiranger, Lancasto | er Road, Lancaster   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      |  |   |
| NORTH:                                  |  |   |
| EAST:                                   |  |   |
| SOUTH:                                  |  |   |
| WEST:                                   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       |  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?              | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contains limited  |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?             | development consisting of a few residential properties and  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | Is the parcel free from development?                                       | Beaumont Gate Farm. The parcel does adjoin development in   |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?           | the Green Belt consisting of Beaumont College to the south and  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                            | it therefore mitigates against the urban influences of sprawl to  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                    | the south. It is not part of a wider tract of countryside given the   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  | northern boundary of the link road separates it from the wider  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | countryside. The parcel is close proximity to the large built up area of Lancaster but does not adjoin it and does not provide an |
|   |  | opportunity for rounding off the settlement. The parcel is  |
|   |  | located on Slyne Road and does have role in preventing ribbon   |
|   |  | development on that side of the road corridor.  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING         | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  | development on that side of the road corridor.  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap  |
|   | between named settlements?   | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development   |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?                         | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the   |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed    | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.   |
|   | from the Green Belt?   | There is already some development in this parcel, furthermore   |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly       | the link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong  |
|   | separate settlement areas?   | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or |   |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?            |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what  | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contain a range of  |
|   | the boundary consist of?   | boundaries, some of which may not be able to prevent  |

| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES  | <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> </ul>            | encroachment in the longer term. The existing land use is predominantly open countryside in agricultural use. The parcel contains a limited level of development consisting of a few residential properties and Beaumont Gate Farm. The parcel is detached from the settlement area. The road link severs the parcel from the wider countryside. The topography of the parcel is undulating sloping gently upwards away from Slyne Road. There are open views across the parcel. |
|--|---|--|
| (OPENESS)  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL                                | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                              | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                       | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is in close proximity to the historic town of Lancaster but is not directly adjacent to it and does not   |
| ' SELLING  | ' Character of the historic town?   | T DIAV A FOIE IN DESERVING THE SETTING OF THE KEY HISTORICAL ASSETS  |
| SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                                    |   | play a role in preserving the setting of the key historical assets given that these cannot be seen from the parcel.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG           | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  | given that these cannot be seen from the parcel.   |

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to one purposes and moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is relatively open and in agricultural use. The parcel has however been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN12               |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land the West of Geirange | r. Lancaster   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                    | ,  |  |
| NORTH:                                |  |  |
| EAST:                                 |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                |  |  |
| WEST:                                 |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED     |  | ACCECCAMENT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                    | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?              | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contains no development  |
|                                       | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?             | and is not surrounded by development. It is not part of any  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN       | Is the parcel free from development?                                       | wider tracts of countryside given that the northern boundary is<br>the link road which separates the parcel from the wider tracts of |
| THE PARCEL                            | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?           | open land to the north. The parcel is in close proximity to the  |
|                                       | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                            | built up area of Lancaster but does not provide opportunities for  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF        | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                    | rounding off. The parcel is not on a major road corridor and does  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                        | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  | not play a role in preventing ribbon development.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | not play a role in preventing ribbon development.  |
|                                       |  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING       | I<br>TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER                                   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap   |
|                                       | between named settlements?   | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development  |
|                                       | What is the current gap between these settlements?                         | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the  |
|                                       | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed    | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.  |
|                                       | from the Green Belt?   | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong   |
|                                       | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly       | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.  |
|                                       | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or |  |
|                                       | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?            |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |
|                                       |  |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                                      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
|--|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Moderate Contribution. The existing land use is predominantly open countryside in agricultural use. The parcel is detached from the settlement area. The road link severs the parcel from the wider countryside. The topography allows for open views towards the countryside to the north (beyond the link road). |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is in close proximity to the historic town of Lancaster but is not directly adjacent to it and does not play a role in preserving the setting of the key historical assets  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | given that these cannot be seen from the parcel.   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster. Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to one purposes and moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is relatively open and in agricultural use. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN13                 |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the East of Lancast | er Road (A6), Lancaster  |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      |  |   |
| NORTH:                                  |  |   |
| EAST:                                   |  |   |
| SOUTH:                                  |  |   |
| WEST:                                   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contains no development   |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   | and is not surrounded by any development. It is not part of a   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | Is the parcel free from development?   | wider tract of land given that the northern boundary of the link  |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   | road separates it from the wider countryside. The parcel is in  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  | close proximity to the large built up area of Lancaster but does  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  | not provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?                                | located on a road corridor but there is no ribbon development   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                  | along this side of the corridor.  |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  | ACCECCAAFAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap     the base and a statement 2. | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap  |
|   | between named settlements?   | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development   |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?   | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the settlements, however this would not result in them merging. |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     for a thin Count Bulk?         | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong  |
|   | from the Green Belt?   | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?            | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or                                 |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSIVILINI                   | what is the overall assessment of the parcer in relation to this purpose:                                  |   |
|   |  |   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING       | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what                                  | Moderate Contribution. The parcel has a range of boundaries,  |
|   | the boundary consist of?   | some of which may not be able to prevent encroachment in the  |
|   |  |   |

|   | <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>  | long term. The existing land use consists of open countryside in agricultural use. The parcel is detached from the settlement. The link road severs the parcel from the wider countryside. The |
|---|---|--|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                         | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | topography of the parcel is raised and undulating with the land sloping steeply away from the western boundary. The topography does impact on the wider openness.                              |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL                           | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                         | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                  | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND                            | • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is in close proximity to the historic   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND                           | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special  | town of Lancaster but is not directly adjacent to it and does not  |
| SETTING   | character of the historic town?   | play a role in preserving the setting of the key historical assets   |
|   |   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | given that these cannot be seen from the parcel.   |
|   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  | given that these cannot be seen from the parcel.   |
|   |   | given that these cannot be seen from the parcel.  ASSESSMENT   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                         | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  CRITERIA  Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | ASSESSMENT  Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | CRITERIA     Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?     What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield  | ASSESSMENT  Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.  Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel   |

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to one purposes and moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is relatively open and in agricultural use. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN14                                    |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land North of Aysgarth Dri                     | ve. Lancaster  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:   | 7  |  |
| NORTH:   |  |  |
| EAST:  |  |  |
| SOUTH:   |  |  |
| WEST:  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| DUDDOCE 4. CHECK THE HADECTRICTE                           | CODAMI OF LARCE BUILT UP AREAS   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
|  |  |  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?              | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contains some limited levels of residential development. It is not part of a wider tract of land |
| LEVEL OF EVICTING CORNAL MUTUIN                            | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?             | given that the northern boundary of the link road separates it   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN                            | • Is the parcel free from development?                                     | from the wider countryside. The parcel is in close proximity to  |
| THE PARCEL   | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?           | the large built up area of Lancaster but does not provide any  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                            | opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located on a road  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF                             | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                    | corridor but there is no ribbon development along this side of   |
| THE SETTLEMENT   | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  | the corridor.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | the corridor.  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING                            | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                                     | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap   |
|  | between named settlements?   | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development  |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?                         | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed    | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.  |
|  | from the Green Belt?   | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong   |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly       | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.  |
|  | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?            |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Weak Contribution. The parcel consists of both agricultural land and residential properties. The parcel adjoins the settlement area on the southern boundary and includes a weak boundary which is vulnerable to future encroachment. The link road severs the parcel from the wider countryside to the north. The |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | undulating nature of land to the north reduces the level of openness.  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is directly adjacent to the historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | from the parcel.   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster. Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a weak contribution to three purposes and a moderate contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel is predominantly open and in agricultural use although residential uses in the south do have an impact. The parcel is on a road corridor but does not have a role in preventing ribbon development. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN15                |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Beaumont Hall, Lar | ncaster   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     | redote.   |   |
| NORTH:                                 |   |   |
| EAST:                                  |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                 |   |   |
| WEST:                                  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED      | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contains some limited levels  |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | of residential development. It is not part of a wider tract of land   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Is the parcel free from development?  | given that the northern boundary of the link road separates it  |
| THE PARCEL                             | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | from the wider countryside. The parcel is in close proximity to   |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   | the large built up area of Lancaster but does not provide any   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   | opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is not located on a  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   | road corridor and does not play a role in preventing ribbon   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | development.  |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   | 4.005000 45017  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap  |
|  | between named settlements?  | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development   |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the   |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed  from the Guerr Balt?   | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.  The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong |
|  | from the Green Belt?  | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | separate settlement areas?  |   |
| DOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or<br>boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements? |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     |   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSIVIEIVI                  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Weak Contribution. The presence of residential properties   |
|  | the boundary consist of?  | within this parcel highlights that encroachment has already   |
|  |   |   |

| <ul> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul> | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen from the parcel.   |
|---|--|
| What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special  |  |
|   | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving  |
|   | and the second s |
| 2.0.1   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is directly adjacent to the  |
|   | ASSESSMENT   |
|   |  |
| safeguarded?  |  |
| What is the existing land use within the parcel?  |  |
| What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?  |  |
|   |  |
| ·   |  |
|   | openness out into the wider countryside is limited.  |
| prevent encroachment in the long term?  | undulating nature of land to the north of this parcel means that   |
| Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and   | strong relationship with the surrounding settlement area. The  |
|   | <ul> <li>what are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>what is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>what is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be</li> </ul>  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a weak contribution to three purposes and a moderate contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel includes a number of residential properties which has had an impact on openness. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN16                 |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the East of Howgill | Brook, Lancaster   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      |  |   |
| NORTH:                                  |  |   |
| EAST:                                   |  |   |
| SOUTH:                                  |  |   |
| WEST:                                   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| DUDDOCE 4 CUECU THE UNDESTRUCT          | CODAMI OF LARGE BUILTING AREAS   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       |  | ACCECCAMENT   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?              | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contains no development   |
| LEVEL OF SWISTING CRRAW WITHIN          | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?             | and is not surrounded by any development. It is not part of the wider tract of countryside given the northern boundary of the |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | • Is the parcel free from development?                                     | link road separates it from the wider tracts of countryside to the  |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?           | north. The parcel is in close proximity to the large built-up area  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                            | of Lancaster but does not adjoin it therefore it does not provide   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                    | the opportunity for rounding off the settlement. The parcel is  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  | not located on a road corridor and does not play a role in  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | preventing ribbon development.  |
|   |  | ,   |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING         | I<br>TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER                                   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap  |
|   | between named settlements?   | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development   |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?                         | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the   |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed    | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.   |
|   | from the Green Belt?   | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong  |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly       | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
|   | separate settlement areas?   |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or |   |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?            |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul> | Moderate Contribution. The existing land use consists of open countryside in agricultural use. The parcel adjoins the settlement area long its southern boundary. The link road severs the parcel from the wider countryside. The topography of the parcel is raised and undulating with the land sloping steeply |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES   | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | away from the western boundary. The topography does impact  |
| (OPENESS)   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul>  | on the wider openness.  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL                                     | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING AN                                  | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND                                      | • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is directly adjacent to the   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND                                     | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | historic town of Lancaster but does not play a role in preserving   |
| SETTING   | character of the historic town?  | the setting of key historical assets given these cannot be seen   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | from the parcel.  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGE                                  | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>   | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.  Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC                                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a weak contribution to two purposes and moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is generally free from development an in an agricultural use and in the Lancaster Canal has a strong and definable boundary. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN17               |   |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the West of Green | Lane, Lancaster   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                    | ·   |   |
| NORTH:                                |   |   |
| EAST:                                 |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                |   |   |
| WEST:                                 |   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED     |   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                    | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contains no development   |
|                                       | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | and is not surrounded by any development. It is not part of the   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN       | Is the parcel free from development?  | wider tract of countryside given the northern boundary of the   |
| THE PARCEL                            | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | link road separates it from the wider tracts of countryside to the  |
|                                       | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   | north. The parcel is in close proximity to the large built-up area  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF        | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   | of Lancaster but does not adjoin it therefore it does not provide   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                        | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   | the opportunity for rounding off the settlement. The parcel is  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | not located on a road corridor and does not play a role in preventing ribbon development.                           |
|                                       |   | preventing ribbon development.  |
| DUDDOSE A DDEL/ENT NEIGUDOUDING       | TOURS FROM A AFRICANCE INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
|                                       | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   | ACCECCAAFAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap     the base and applying the second and the parcel of the par | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap  |
|                                       | between named settlements?  | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development   |
|                                       | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the settlements, however this would not result in them merging. |
|                                       | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     form the Green Balk?  | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong  |
|                                       | from the Green Belt?  | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
|                                       | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?   | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |   |
|                                       | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|                                       | ,   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Moderate Contribution. The parcel has a range of boundaries,  |
|                                       | the boundary consist of?  | some of which may not be able to prevent encroachment in the  |

| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                 | <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> </ul>            | long term. The existing land use consists of open countryside in agricultural use. The parcel is detached from the settlement. The link road severs the parcel from the wider countryside. The topography of the parcel is raised and undulating with the land |
|---|---|--|
| (OPENESS)                               | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | sloping steeply away from the western boundary. The topography does impact on the wider openness.  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL         | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A       | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND          | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | Moderate Contribution. Lancaster is considered to be a Historic  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special<br/>character of the historic town?</li> </ul>  | Town and, due to the elevated position of this parcel there are clear views of key assets within the Historic Core – in particular   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | Lancaster Castle and Ashton Memorial. As a result this parcel is considered to play some role in the setting of the Historic Town.   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG       | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL            | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?   | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.  |
|   | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?   | Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.   |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a weak contribution to one purpose and a moderate contribution to four purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is open and in agricultural use and due to its elevated position provides views into the historic core of Lancaster. The parcel has been highly effected by the presence of the Link Road which impacts on wider openness and severs this land from wider tracts of countryside to the north.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: LAN18                 |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the East of Green L | ane, Lancaster  |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      |   |   |
| NORTH:                                  |   |   |
| EAST:                                   |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                  |   |   |
| WEST:                                   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       |   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Moderate Contribution. The parcel contains no development         |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | and is not surrounded by any development. It is not part of a     |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | Is the parcel free from development?  | wider tract of land given that the northern boundary of the link  |
| THE PARCEL                              | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> </ul>                                    | road separates it from the wider countryside. The parcel is       |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   | adjacent to the large built up area of Lancaster but does not     |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   | provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is not     |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   | located on a road corridor and does not play a role in preventing |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | ribbon development.   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap          |
|   | between named settlements?  | between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development         |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the           |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   | settlements, however this would not result in them merging.       |
|   | from the Green Belt?  | The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong        |
|   | <ul> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly<br/>separate settlement areas?</li> </ul> | boundary which maintains the presence of the gap.                 |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |   |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT                        |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Moderate Contribution. The parcel has a range of boundaries, some of which may be vulnerable to future encroachment. The existing land use consists of open countryside in agricultural use. The link road severs the parcel from the wider countryside to the north. The parcel slopes upwards, away from the River Lune             |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)  | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | and the elevated nature of this land does provide open views to the east towards the Forest of Bowland.   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |   |
|  | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>              | Moderate Contribution. Lancaster is considered to be a Historic Town and, due to the elevated position of this parcel there are clear views of key assets within the Historic Core – in particular Lancaster Castle and Ashton Memorial. As a result this parcel is considered to play some role in the setting of the Historic Town. |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG  | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.  Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.   |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC   | CEL   |   |

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a weak contribution to one purpose and a moderate contribution to four purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is free from development and used for agricultural purposes, consistent with the GB. The elevated position of this parcel provides strong views both to the South and East. The influence of the Link Road does have impacts on this parcel, severing linkages to wider tracts of countryside to the North.

| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Halton Road Bridge, Lancaster  PARCEL BOUNDARIES:  NORTH:  EAST:  SOUTH:  WEST:  PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  • Do opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located on a road corridor but there is no ribbon development along this  |
|---|
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES: NORTH: EAST: SOUTH: WEST:  PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT   |
| NORTH: EAST: SOUTH: WEST:  PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |
| EAST: SOUTH: WEST:  PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  OPECATION  CRITERIA  OPECATION  IS the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? OPECATURINITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development? How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT Has the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? He PARCEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL Does this land form an extensive tract of land? OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development? How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development? How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development? How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development? How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel free from development? • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features? • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  • Is the parcel free from development?  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN  THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN  THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN  THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN  THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  and is not surrounded by any development. It is not part of a wider tract of land given that the northern boundary of the link road separates it from the wider countryside. The parcel is adjacent to the large built up area of Lancaster but does not provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located are a role agreed corridor but there is no ribbon development?  |
| <ul> <li>Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?</li> <li>LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL</li> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> <li>Does this land form an extensive tract of land?</li> <li>Does this land form an extensive tract of land?</li> <li>How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?</li> <li>How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?</li> </ul>  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  • Is the parcel free from development?  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • Is the parcel free from development?  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land given that the northern boundary of the link road separates it from the wider countryside. The parcel is adjacent to the large built up area of Lancaster but does not provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located any opportunities for rounding off.   |
| THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |
| Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF      How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  OPPORTUNITI |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located provide any opportunities for rounding off. The parcel is located provide any opportunities for rounding off.  |
| Thow many sides is the parter surrounded by development:  |
| THE SETTLEMENT On a road corridor but there is no ribbon development along this   |
| THE SETTEMENT IN DO Opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  side of the corridor.  |
|   |
|   |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION CRITERIA ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  Weak Contribution. The parcel forms a less-essential gap  |
| between named settlements?  between Lancaster and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development   |
| • What is the current gap between these settlements? of the parcel would slightly reduce the gap between the  |
| <ul> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Settlements, however this would not result in them merging.</li> <li>The link road to the north of the parcel provides a strong</li> </ul>   |
|   |
|   |
| separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |
| • what is the overall assessment of the parcer in relation to this purpose:   |
|   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION CRITERIA ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what  Moderate Contribution. The parcel has a range of boundaries,  |
| the boundary consist of? some of which may be vulnerable to future encroachment. The  |

|  |   | T  |
|--|---|--|
|  | What do the other boundaries consist of?  | existing land use consists of open countryside in agricultural use.  |
|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and                     | The parcel adjoins the settlement area long its southern   |
|  | prevent encroachment in the long term?  | boundary whilst the link road severs the parcel from the wider   |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  | countryside to the north. The parcel slopes upwards, away from   |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded? | the River Lune and the elevated nature of this land does provide open views to the east towards the Forest of Bowland. |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?                        |  |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?                                |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?                                      |  |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be              |  |
|  | safeguarded?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?             |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A</b> | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?                   | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is in close proximity to the historic   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special              | town of Lancaster but is not directly adjacent to it and does not  |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?   | play a role in preserving the setting of the key historical assets   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?             | given that these cannot be seen from the parcel.   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG        | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND                               |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?                               | Moderate Contribution: The parcel is adjacent to Lancaster.  |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield               | Lancaster has a 2.73% brownfield urban potential and the parcel  |
|  | sites (capacity)?   | therefore makes a moderate contribution to this purpose.   |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?             |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR          | rei   |  |

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to one purpose and a moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is free from development and used for agricultural purposes, consistent with the GB. The elevated position of this parcel provides strong views both to the South and East but no views to the historic core of Lancaster. The influence of the Link Road does have impacts on this parcel, severing linkages to wider tracts of countryside to the North.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE01   |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Morecambe Golf C   | lubhouse, Marine Drive  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:   | ·   |  |
| NORTH:   |   |  |
| EAST:  |   |  |
| SOUTH:   |   |  |
| WEST:  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE   | D CDDAWL OF LADGE DINIT LID ADEAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Weak Contribution. The parcel includes a range of buildings  |
| MIDDON DEVELOPMENT   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | which are associated with Morecambe Golf Club and also       |
| LEVEL OF EVICTING CDDANAL MUTUIN   |   | includes a large public car park. These have introduced      |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL                                     | • Is the parcel free from development?  | urbanising features to the parcel.                           |
| THE PARCEL   | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | urbanising reacures to the parcel.                           |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF   | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT   | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PLIRPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOLIRING  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL   | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring |
| 200.1110.1101.1111.1022  | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | do no merge together.  |
|  | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed</li> </ul>   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> </ul>  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly</li> </ul>  |  |
| BOLINDARY FFATURES   | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> </ul>   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or</li> </ul>   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> </ul>   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or</li> </ul>   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> </ul>   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> </ul>   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                          | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | do no merge together.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul> THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT CRITERIA | do no merge together.  ASSESSMENT                            |

|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?   | openness of the adjacent Golf Course does have an impact on this parcel.  |
|--|--|---|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)  EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL                         | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul> |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | -   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A  | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution</b> . Bolton-le-Sands is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.   |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?      ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose. |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR  | CEL  |   |
| <b>STRONG CONTRIBUTION</b> The parcel m  | akes no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to three purposes and   | a strong contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a   |

string contribution. This parcel forms a key area between the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-with-Hest.

| DARGE DEFENDANCE MARRIA              | 2   |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| PARCEL REFERENCE: MOREO              |   |   |
| SITE TITLE: Land at Morecambe Golf C | ourse   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                   |   |   |
| NORTH:                               |   |   |
| EAST:                                |   |   |
| SOUTH:                               |   |   |
| WEST:                                |   |   |
|                                      |   |   |
|                                      |   |   |
|                                      | CORANII OF LABOR BUILT UP AREAC   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE     |   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?               | Strong Contribution. The parcel is a large expanse of Golf          |
|                                      | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?              | Course with no sign of urbanising features (albeit this is a highly |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN      | Is the parcel free from development?  | managed amenity area).  |
| THE PARCEL                           | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?            |   |
|                                      | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                             |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF       | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                     |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                       | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development? |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|                                      | · · ·   |   |
|                                      |   |   |
|                                      | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL               | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring        |
|                                      | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest        |
|                                      | What is the current gap between these settlements?                          | do no merge together.   |
|                                      | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     |   |
|                                      | from the Green Belt?  |   |
|                                      | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly        |   |
|                                      | separate settlement areas?  |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                    | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |   |
|                                      | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?             |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|                                      |   |   |
| DURDOSE 3. ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING    | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                    | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Strong Contribution. The parcel is highly open and contributes      |
| BOUNDANT FEATURES                    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                       | significantly to this GB purpose.                                   |
|                                      | the boundary consist of?  | significantly to this do purpose.                                   |

|                                   | What do the other boundaries consist of?  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and                     |  |
|                                   | prevent encroachment in the long term?  |  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES           | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  |  |
| (OPENESS)                         | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded? |  |
|                                   | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?                        |  |
|                                   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?                                |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?                                      |  |
|                                   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be              |  |
|                                   | safeguarded?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?             |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND    | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?                   | No Contribution. Bolton-le-Sands is not considered to meet the |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special              | definition of a historic town.                                 |
| SETTING                           | character of the historic town?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?             |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND                               |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL      | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?                               | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.        |
|                                   | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield               | Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the         |
|                                   | sites (capacity)?   | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.    |
|                                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?             |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR   | CEI   |  |

STRONG CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to one purpose and a string contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. This parcel forms a key area between the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-with-Hest.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE03              |   |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Wood | rush, Morecambe   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                    |   |   |
| NORTH:                                |   |   |
| EAST:                                 |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                |   |   |
| WEST:                                 |   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE      |   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                    | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?               | Strong Contribution. The parcel is an area of natural scrubland   |
|                                       | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?              | and woodland which is free from development. There are some       |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN       | Is the parcel free from development?  | signs of former development (foundation) on the land and there    |
| THE PARCEL                            | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?            | is also some limited influence from the residential properties on |
|                                       | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                             | Woodrush.   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF        | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                     |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                        | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development? |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring      |
|                                       | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest      |
|                                       | What is the current gap between these settlements?                          | do no merge together.   |
|                                       | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     |   |
|                                       | from the Green Belt?  |   |
|                                       | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly        |   |
|                                       | separate settlement areas?  |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |   |
|                                       | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?             |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       |   |   |
|                                       | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |

| BOUNDARY FEATURES                        | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what | Moderate Contribution. There are indications that site may             |
|--|---|--|
|  | the boundary consist of?  | have had some built structures on it historically. These have          |
|  | What do the other boundaries consist of?                                  | been replaced by scrubland. This parcel still forms part of an         |
|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and         | extensive tract of countryside of which the adjacent Golf Course       |
|  | prevent encroachment in the long term?                                    | is a key element.  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?                            |  |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |  |
|  | safeguarded?  |  |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?            |  |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?                    |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?                          |  |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |  |
|  | safeguarded?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A        | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN                                   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?       | <b>No Contribution</b> . Bolton-le-Sands is not considered to meet the |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special  | definition of a historic town.   |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND                   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?                   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.                |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield   | Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the                 |
|  | sites (capacity)?   | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.            |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? | ]  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE DAR          |   |  |

### **OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL**

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to one purpose, a moderate contribution to one purpose and a strong contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a string contribution. This parcel forms a key area between the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-with-Hest.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE04             |   |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land at the VV Gymnasium | n, Marine Drive   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                   |   |   |
| NORTH:                               |   |   |
| EAST:                                |   |   |
| SOUTH:                               |   |   |
| WEST:                                |   |   |
|                                      |   |   |
|                                      |   |   |
|                                      |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED    |   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                                   | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up  |
|                                      | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                                  | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN      | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the  |
| THE PARCEL                           | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?                                | large built up areas.   |
|                                      | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF       | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                       | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?                       |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                       |   |
|                                      |   |   |
| DUDDOG A DDE VENT NEIGUDOUDING       | TOURS FROM A AFRICANCING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
|                                      | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   | ACCECCAAFAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL               | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap                      | Weak Contribution. The parcel forms less essential gap between  |
|                                      | between named settlements?  | Morecambe and Slyne-with-Hest whereby development of the  |
|                                      | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | parcel would reduce the gap between the settlements but   |
|                                      | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed                         | would not result in their merging. Furthermore the parcel is already considerably developed and Marine Drive forms a strong |
|                                      | from the Green Belt?  | boundary and maintains some separation.   |
|                                      | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas? | boundary and maintains some separation.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                    | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or                      |   |
|                                      | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                                 |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                       |   |
|                                      | ,   |   |
|                                      |   |   |
|                                      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                    | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what                       | Weak Contribution. The parcel is well contained by strong   |
|                                      | the boundary consist of?  | boundaries on all sides which could contain development and   |

|   | What do the other boundaries consist of?   | prevent encroachment. The parcel has significant levels of   |
|---|--|--|
|   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and  | development with existing land uses consisting of a gymnasium,   |
|   | prevent encroachment in the long term?   | car parking and residential development. The parcel is flat and  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                   | <ul><li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li></ul>   | there are significant levels of vegetation on the southern   |
| (OPENESS)                                 | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>            | boundary. Due to these factors there is a limited level of openness.   |
|   | <ul> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> </ul>                                       |  |
|   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?   |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL           | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |  |
|   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be   |  |
|   | safeguarded?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                        | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING AN</b> | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND            | • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | <b>No Contribution</b> . Bolton-le-Sands is not considered to meet the   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND           | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | definition of a historic town.   |
| SETTING                                   | character of the historic town?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                        | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGI</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL              | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> </ul>  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  |
|   |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield</li> </ul>                              | Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the   |
|   | <ul> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield<br/>sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>        | Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose. |
|   |  |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC          | <ul> <li>sites (capacity)?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul> | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.  |
|   | <ul><li>sites (capacity)?</li><li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li></ul>    | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.  |

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE05                                  |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the South of Marin                    | e Drive  |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:  |  |   |
| NORTH:  |  |   |
| EAST:   |  |   |
| SOUTH:  |  |   |
| WEST:   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| DUDDOCE 1. CHECK THE HADDCEDICTE                          | D CDDAWN OF LADOE BUILT UP ADEAC   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
|   |  |   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?              | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel does not adjoin the large built up areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does |
| LEVEL OF EVICTING CORAWI WITHIN                           | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?             | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN                           | • Is the parcel free from development?                                     | large built up areas.   |
| THE PARCEL  | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?           | large built up areas.   |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                            |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF                            | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                    |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT  | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
|   |  |   |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING                           | I<br>TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER                                   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                                    | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring  |
|   | between named settlements?   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest  |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?                         | do no merge together.   |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed    |   |
|   | from the Green Belt?   |   |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly       |   |
|   | separate settlement areas?   |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES   | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or |   |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?            |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | <b>Strong Contribution.</b> The parcel is highly open and contributes significantly to this GB purpose.   |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Bolton-le-Sands is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose. |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |

### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to two purposes, a weak contribution to one purpose and a strong contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a string contribution. This parcel forms a key area between the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-with-Hest.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE06                   |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Morecambe Spur (I      | North)   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                         | TOTALI,  |   |
| NORTH:                                     |  |   |
| EAST:                                      |  |   |
| SOUTH:                                     |  |   |
| WEST:                                      |  |   |
| VVE31.                                     |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED          | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                         | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                                      | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains a range of ad-hoc        |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                                     | structures which are used to stable horses. This has had an     |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN            | Is the parcel free from development?   | urbanising effect on the parcel.                                |
| THE PARCEL                                 | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?                                   |   |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF             | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                             | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?                          |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                         | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                          |   |
| O VETO LEE / LOS ESSIVIETY                 | what is the overall assessment of the pareer in relation to this purpose.                          |   |
|  |  |   |
| <b>PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING</b>     | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                     | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap                         | Moderate Contribution. The parcel has some role in fulfilling   |
|  | between named settlements?   | this purpose, however the presence of the rail infrastructure,  |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?   | which is a more defining feature and barrier to future          |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed                            | encroachment between settlements, is a more key feature.        |
|  | from the Green Belt?   |   |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly                               |   |
|  | separate settlement areas?   |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                          | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or                         |   |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                                    |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                         | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                          |   |
|  |  |   |
| DUDDOSE 2: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING          | <br>G THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |   |
|  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION BOUNDARY FEATURES |  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is used for horse grazing and has |
| BOOMDANT FEATURES                          | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of? | been significantly sub-divided into smaller plots. The level of |
|  | the boundary consist of?   | been significantly sub-divided into smaller plots. The level of |

|  | <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul> | openness in the parcel is significantly restricted by the presence of rail infrastructure which surrounds it. Whilst the parcel does not have a relationship with the settlement area nor does it |
|--|--|---|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | have a relationship with the wider countryside.   |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul>                             |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |   |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A        | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | definition of a historic town.  |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.   |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield  | Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the  |
|  | sites (capacity)?  | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.   |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC         |  |   |

WEAK CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, weak contribution to one purpose and moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The openness of this parcel has been significantly affected by the surrounding railway infrastructure.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE07              |   |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Morecambe Spur (S | South)  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                    | ,   |  |
| NORTH:                                |   |  |
| EAST:                                 |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                |   |  |
| WEST:                                 |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED     | ,   | ACCECCATAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                    | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                                   | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains a range of ad-hoc       |
|                                       | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                                  | structures which are used to stable horses. This has had an    |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN       | Is the parcel free from development?  | urbanising effect on the parcel.                               |
| THE PARCEL                            | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?                                |  |
|                                       | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF        | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                        | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?                       |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                       |  |
|                                       |   |  |
| BURDOCE 2. BDEVENT NEIGUBOURING       | TOWAL FROM MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
|                                       | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   | ACCECCATAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap                      | Moderate Contribution. The parcel has some role in fulfilling  |
|                                       | between named settlements?  | this purpose, however the presence of the rail infrastructure, |
|                                       | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | which is a more defining feature and barrier to future         |
|                                       | • What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?  | encroachment between settlements, is a more key feature.       |
|                                       | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas? |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or                      |  |
|                                       | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                                 |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                       |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul> | Weak Contribution. The parcel is used for horse grazing and has been significantly sub-divided into smaller plots. The level of openness in the parcel is significantly restricted by the presence of rail infrastructure which surrounds it. Whilst the parcel does not have a relationship with the settlement area nor does it |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | have a relationship with the wider countryside.   |
| (OPENESS)  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul>  |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution</b> . Morecambe is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |

### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

**WEAK CONTRIBUTON** The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, weak contribution to one purpose and moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The openness of this parcel has been significantly affected by the surrounding railway infrastructure.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE08                 |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the East of Hamilton | n Road, Morecambe   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                       |   |  |
| NORTH:                                   |   |  |
| EAST:                                    |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                   |   |  |
| WEST:                                    |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE         | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                       | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Strong Contribution. The parcel is free from development and   |
| MBBON BEVELOT MENT                       | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | forms a wider tract of countryside to the south.   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN          | Is the parcel free from development?  | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,  |
| THE PARCEL                               | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  |  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF           | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                           | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                   | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel plays a limited role in ensuring   |
|  | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-   |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | with-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in   |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   | this purpose is considered to be limited.  |
|  | from the Green Belt?  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly<br/>separate settlement areas?</li> </ul> |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                        | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
| 3001127111111211131123                   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| DUDDOCE 3. ACCIET IN CASSOLIA DOLLA      | THE COUNTRYCIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
|  | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   | ACCECCATAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  Moderate Contribution The land is in agricultural use and the  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                        | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?                      | Moderate Contribution. The land is in agricultural use and the parcel is considered to be open. The GB boundaries in this area |
|  | the boundary consist of?  | parcer is considered to be open. The db boundaries in this area  |

| What do the other boundaries consist of?     Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?   | are the rear of properties which are considered to be a weak feature but there is a degree of uniformity. The openness is impacted by the presence of the railway line.   |
|---|---|
| <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | impacted by the presence of the fallway line.   |
| <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |   |
| ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |
| CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Morecambe is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.   |
| • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |
| CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield</li> </ul>  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the   |
| sites (capacity)?   | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.   |
|   | <ul> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> </ul> |

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, weak contribution to two purposes, a moderate contribution to one purpose and a strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is free from development and in agricultural use. However, the western boundaries of the site are weak and vulnerable to future encroachment, the presence of the railway also impacts on wider openness and severs the land from wider tracts of countryside to the east.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE09  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Torrisholme Barrov  | v, Morecambe   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:  |  |  |
| NORTH:  |  |  |
| EAST:   |  |  |
| SOUTH:  |  |  |
| WEST:   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE  | D SDDAMI OF LADGE BUILT UD ADEAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  | Strong Contribution. The parcel is free from development and   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPINENT   |  | forms a wider tract of countryside to the south.   |
| LEVEL OF EVICTING CDD AVAILABLE   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   | forms a wider tract or countryside to the south.   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN   | • Is the parcel free from development?   |  |
| THE PARCEL  | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   |  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT  | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|   |  |  |
| DUDDOCE 2. DDEVENT NEIGUD OUDING  | TOWAR FROM MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
|   |  |  |
| L LOCATION OF THE DADGE   |  |  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap   | Weak Contribution. The parcel plays a limited role in ensuring   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  | between named settlements?   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  | <ul><li>between named settlements?</li><li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li></ul>  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slynewith-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  | <ul><li>between named settlements?</li><li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li><li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed</li></ul>  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> </ul>   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slynewith-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly</li> </ul>   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slynewith-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in  |
|   | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> </ul>  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slynewith-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES   | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or</li> </ul>  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slynewith-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES   | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> </ul>  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slynewith-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in  |
|   | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or</li> </ul>  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slynewith-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES   | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> </ul>  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slynewith-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slynewith-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                          | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-with-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in this purpose is considered to be limited.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-with-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in this purpose is considered to be limited.  ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                          | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what</li> </ul> | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-with-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in this purpose is considered to be limited.  ASSESSMENT  Strong Contribution. This is a highly prominent area of land |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-with-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in this purpose is considered to be limited.  ASSESSMENT   |

|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?      | but the land use is strongly agricultural which is consistent with the GB.   |
|--|---|--|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  |  |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                                      |  |
|  | safeguarded?  |  |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?  |  |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?  |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul> |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                     |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A</b> | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | Moderate Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special                                      | the definition of a historic town. However, the elevated position  |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?   | of this parcel provides views over to Lancaster and the historic   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                     | assets of Lancaster Castle and Ashton Memorial.  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG        | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield                                       | Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the   |
|  | sites (capacity)?   | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.  |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                     |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR          | CEL   |  |
| CTRONIC CONTRIBUTION The marred or       | aleas a weak contribution to two numbers, moderate contribution to one number                                 | and the second state of the second se |

STRONG CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes a weak contribution to two purposes, moderate contribution to one purpose and strong contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. The parcel is highly elevated providing extensive views across the Green Belt and countryside to the east. Views are also afforded towards the historic core of

Lancaster.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE10               |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Hasty | Brow Road   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     |   |   |
| NORTH:                                 |   |   |
| EAST:                                  |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                 |   |   |
| WEST:                                  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED      | D SDDAMI OF LADGE BUILT LID ADEAS   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Strong Contribution. The parcel is free from development and        |
| KIBBON DEVELOPIVIENT                   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | forms a wider tract of countryside to the south.                    |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | It is the parcel already been compromised by hisbori development:      Is the parcel free from development?                                   | forms a wider tract of country side to the south.                   |
| THE PARCEL                             | · ·   |   |
| METANCEL                               | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> <li>Does this land form an extensive tract of land?</li> </ul> |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         |   |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |   |
|  | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING        | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel plays a limited role in ensuring      |
|  | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-          |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | with-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in        |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   | this purpose is considered to be limited.                           |
|  | from the Green Belt?  |   |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |   |
|  | separate settlement areas?  |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |   |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|  |   |   |
| DUDDOCE 2: ASSIST IN CAFECUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      |   | Moderate Contribution. The predominantly used for agricultural      |
| BOUNDANT FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?  | purposes. Whilst there is a residential property within the parcel  |
|  | the boundary consist of?  | purposes, writist there is a residential property within the parcer |

|  | <ul><li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li><li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and</li></ul>            | this does not affect the wider openness. The undulating nature of the parcel provides a degree of openness to the west (beyond |
|--|---|--|
|  | prevent encroachment in the long term?  | the West Coast Mainline).  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  |  |
| (OPENESS)                                | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>                                   |  |
|  | <ul><li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li><li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li></ul> |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>                                   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A</b> | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Morecambe is not considered to meet the   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special  | definition of a historic town.   |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield   | Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the   |
|  | sites (capacity)?   | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.  |
|  | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR          | ^EI   | _  |

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, weak contribution to two purposes, a moderate contribution to one purpose and a strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is free from development and in agricultural use. However, the western boundaries of the site are weak and vulnerable to future encroachment, the presence of the railway also impacts on wider openness and severs the land from wider tracts of countryside to the east.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE11                |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Fair View, Hasty Br | ow Road   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      |   |  |
| NORTH:                                  |   |  |
| EAST:                                   |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                  |   |  |
| WEST:                                   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE        | D SDDAMI OF LADGE BUILT LID ADEAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | Moderate Contribution. The parcel includes a number of         |
| NIBBON DEVELOPIVILINI                   | 1   | residential structures which has impacted on openness.         |
| LEVEL OF EVICTING CODAMI, MUTUAL        | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | residential structures which has impacted on openiess.         |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | • Is the parcel free from development?  |  |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  |  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   |   |  |
| DI IRROSE 2: DREVENT NEIGHBOURING       | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. The parcel plays a limited role in ensuring |
| 200,11101101111111111111111111111111111 | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Slyne-     |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | with-Hest do not merge together, however the parcels role in   |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements:     What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed. | this purpose is considered to be limited.                      |
|   | from the Green Belt?  | tine par pose is considered to se immedia                      |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |  |
|   | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
| 200112/11/11 FERTONES                   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| OVERALE ASSESSIVILIVI                   | viriat is the overall assessment of the parter in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING       | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
|   | - Decath a regard forms now of the evidence Cross Bolt hours day 2 if an unbat  | Moderate Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is    |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | • Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | wioderate contribution. The predominant use of the parcer's    |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?                              | for agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB. The |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | the boundary consist of?  What do the other boundaries consist of?  |  |

|   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and   | wider openness of this parcel has been impacted on by the  |
|---|---|--|
|   | prevent encroachment in the long term?  | presence of the railway line.  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES   | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  |  |
| (OPENESS)   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |  |
|   | safeguarded?  |  |
|   | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?  |  |
|   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?  |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?  |  |
|   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |  |
|   | safeguarded?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A   | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
|   |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND   | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | ASSESSMENT No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the  |
|   |   | 1.00-00111-111   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND  | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND  | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                         | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT  Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield</li> </ul> | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT  Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the |

### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, weak contribution to two purposes, a moderate contribution to one purpose and strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is open land which is free from development, however wider openness is restricted due to the presence of the rail line which severs the land from wider tracts of countryside to the east.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE12               |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the South of Hasty | Brow Road  |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     |  |   |
| NORTH:                                 |  |   |
| EAST:                                  |  |   |
| SOUTH:                                 |  |   |
| WEST:                                  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE       | D SDRAMI OF LARGE RUILT LID AREAS  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  |   |
| KIBBON DEVELOPIVIENT                   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   | Moderate Contribution. The parcel is free from                    |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | development but has a strong relationship with the                |
| THE PARCEL                             | Is the parcel free from development?      Describe ground from unbarising features?                                    | settlement area. The parcel is severed from wider tracts          |
| THE PARCEL                             | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?      Does this lead form an extraction treat of lead? | of countryside by the railway line.                               |
| ODDODT! INITIES FOR DOUBLDING OFF      | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
|  |  |   |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING        | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap   | Weak Contribution. The parcel plays a limited role in ensuring    |
|  | between named settlements?   | that Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Lancaster (Skerton) do not       |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?   | further merge together. However, this does not represent a        |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed  | strategic gap between settlements due to the significant level of |
|  | from the Green Belt?   | development which has taken place to the south.                   |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly   |   |
|  | separate settlement areas?   |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |   |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
|  |  |   |
| DURDOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what  | Moderate Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is       |
| BOOMBART LATORES                       | the boundary consist of?   | for agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB. The    |
|  | the boundary consist or:   | ior agricultural parposes willerns consistent with the db. The    |

|                                   | What do the other boundaries consist of?   | wider openness of this parcel has been impacted on by the   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|
|                                   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and  | presence of the railway line.   |  |
|                                   | prevent encroachment in the long term?   |   |  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES           | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   |   |  |
| (OPENESS)                         | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>  |   |  |
|                                   | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?   |   |  |
|                                   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?   |   |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |   |  |
|                                   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be   |   |  |
|                                   | safeguarded?   |   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND    | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | <b>No Contribution</b> . Morecambe is not considered to meet the  |  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | definition of a historic town.  |  |
| SETTING                           | character of the historic town?  |   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG | PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          |  |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL      | CRITERIA     Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.   |  |
|                                   |  |   |  |
|                                   | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.   |  |
|                                   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield</li> </ul> | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the |  |

### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, weak contribution to two purposes and a moderate contribution to two purposes.

Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is open land which is free from development, however wider openness is restricted due to the presence of the rail line which severs the land from wider tracts of countryside to the east.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE13                                   |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the East of Russell                    | Drive, Morecambe  |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:   | ·   |   |
| NORTH:   |   |   |
| EAST:  |   |   |
| SOUTH:   |   |   |
| WEST:  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE                           | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?               | Weak Contribution. The parcel contains no development and           |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?              | forms part of a wider tract of countryside. The parcel adjoins the  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN                            | Is the parcel free from development?  | built up area along its western boundary which consists of the      |
| THE PARCEL   | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?            | rear gardens of residential properties. If the adjoining parcels to |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                             | the south were developed, development of this parcel would          |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF                             | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                     | provide the opportunity for the rounding off of the settlement      |
| THE SETTLEMENT   | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   | pattern. The parcel is located on a road corridor but does not      |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | have a role in preventing ribbon development.                       |
| OVERALL ASSESSIVIENT                                       | what is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose:   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING                            | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                                     | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Weak Contribution. Whilst the parcel is located within the land     |
|  | between named settlements?  | gap between Morecambe / Lancaster and Slyne-with Hest, the          |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?                          | gap is already narrower in other locations and therefore            |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     | development of the parcel would not result in a reduction of the    |
|  | from the Green Belt?  | gap or in settlements merging. The parcel also forms part of the    |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly        | gap between Lancaster and Morecambe however these towns             |
|  | separate settlement areas?  | have already merged.  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |   |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?             |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  | • What is the overall assessment of the parter in relation to this purpose: |   |
|  | • What is the overall assessment of the parter in relation to this purpose: |   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                          | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION |   | ASSESSMENT  |
|  | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   | Moderate Contribution. The parcel is predominantly scrub /          |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                   | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  CRITERIA                                 |   |

|  | <ul> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and<br/>prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>  | is a good degree of uniformity in the feature. The parcel has a significant degree of relationship with the settlement area. The  |
|--|---|---|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  | topography of the parcel is undulating however there are fairly   |
| (OPENESS)  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  | open views from the western boundary across the parcel.   |
|  | safeguarded?  |   |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?  Mist is the proximity and relationship with the accordance idea.  |   |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?  |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  | <ul><li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li></ul>  |   |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |   |
|  | safeguarded?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A  | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND   | • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND  | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special  | definition of a historic town.  |
| SETTING  | character of the historic town?   |   |
| SLITING  |   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   |   | ASSESSMENT  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG   | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   | ASSESSMENT  Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND CRITERIA  | 1.00-001112111  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  CRITERIA  Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND     CRITERIA     Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?     What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield                            | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA  Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL  OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCE | CRITERIA  Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.  Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose. |

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE14                   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the West of Powde      | er House Lane. Morecambe   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                         |  |  |
| NORTH:                                     |  |  |
| EAST:                                      |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                     |  |  |
| WEST:                                      |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| DUDDOCE 4. CHECK THE HADECTRICTE           | D CREAM! OF LARCE BUILT UP AREAS   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE           | CRITERIA   | ACCCCCNACNT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                   |  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                         | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?      Heather parcel played when a parcel parcel by ribbon development? | <b>Moderate Contribution.</b> The parcel is free from sprawl, does not include ribbon development and does not present opportunities |
| LEVEL OF EVICTING CORAWI WITHIN            | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   | for rounding off. The adjacent Link Road is an urbanising feature  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL | Is the parcel free from development?  Parather and the parcel free from development?   | which influences this parcel.  |
| THE PARCEL                                 | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?      Does this lead form an extraction treat of lead?               | which influences this purcei.  |
| ODDODTH NUTLEC FOR DOLINDING OFF           | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF             | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                             | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                         | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING            | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                     | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap   | Weak Contribution. Whilst the parcel is located within the land  |
|  | between named settlements?   | gap between Morecambe / Lancaster and Slyne-with Hest, the   |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?   | gap is already narrower in other locations and therefore   |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed  | development of the parcel would not result in a reduction of the   |
|  | from the Green Belt?   | gap or in settlements merging. The parcel also forms part of the   |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly   | gap between Lancaster and Morecambe however these towns  |
|  | separate settlement areas?   | have already merged.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                          | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                         | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |
| DURDOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING          | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                          | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what  | Strong Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is for  |
| BOOMBART LATORES                           | the boundary consist of?   | agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB.   |
|  | the boundary consist or:   | abilicated at parposes without is collisistent with the ob.  |

|  |  | _   |
|--|--|---|
|  | What do the other boundaries consist of?   |   |
|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and  |   |
|  | prevent encroachment in the long term?   |   |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | ]   |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be     action and all the parcel serve as beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be |   |
|  | safeguarded?   |   |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?   | 1   |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?   |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |   |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be   |   |
|  | safeguarded?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A</b> | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> </ul>  | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the    |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | definition of a historic town.                              |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | ]   |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | SENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.     |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield  | Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the      |
|  | sites (capacity)?  | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose. |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | 1   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC         | CEI  |   |

MODERATE CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to one purpose, a weak contribution to two purposes, a moderate contribution to one purpose and a strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel is free from development and relatively open However, it is heavily influenced by the adjacent Link Road.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: MORE15               |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the East of Powder | House Lane, Morecambe  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     |  |  |
| NORTH:                                 |  |  |
| EAST:                                  |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                 |  |  |
| WEST:                                  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE       | CODAMI OF LADGE DIBIT IID ADEAS  |  |
|  |  | ACCECCATAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                          | Moderate Contribution. The parcel is free from sprawl, does not  |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                         | include ribbon development and does not present opportunities  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Is the parcel free from development?   | for rounding off. The adjacent Link Road is an urbanising feature  |
| THE PARCEL                             | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?                       | which influences this parcel.  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                                |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?            |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?              |  |
|  |  |  |
| DUDDOCE 3. DDEVENT NEIGUDOUDING        | TOWNS FROM MERCINIC INTO ONE ANIOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
|  |  |  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap             | Weak Contribution. The parcel plays a limited role in ensuring that Morecambe (Torrisholme) and Lancaster (Skerton) do not |
|  | between named settlements?   | further merge together. However, this does not represent a   |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?                                     |  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed                | strategic gap between settlements due to the significant level of  |
|  | from the Green Belt?   | development which has taken place to the south.  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly                   |  |
|  | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or             |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                        |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?              |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |  |
|  | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  | ASSESSMENT   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  Moderate Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is  |
|  | CRITERIA     Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what | Moderate Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   |  |

|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term? | are a number of telecommunication masts and equipment which have had an effect on the wider openness of the parcel. |
|--|--|---|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | · · · · ·   |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                                 |   |
|  | safeguarded?   |   |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?   |   |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?   |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   | 7   |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                                 |   |
|  | safeguarded?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                | 7   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A        | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?                                      | No Contribution. Morecambe is not considered to meet the  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special                                 | definition of a historic town.  |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                |   |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | Weak Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Morecambe.   |
|  |  | Morecambe has 0.45% brownfield urban potential and the  |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield                                  | Morecambe has 0.45% brownnerd droan potential and the   |
|  | sites (capacity)?  | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.   |
|  |  |   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR          | sites (capacity)?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?           |   |
|  | sites (capacity)?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?           | parcel therefore makes a weak contribution to this purpose.   |

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH01                 |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the South of Rushle | ev Drive. Hest Bank   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      |   |  |
| NORTH:                                  |   |  |
| EAST:                                   |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                  |   |  |
| WEST:                                   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?               | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?              | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?            | large built up areas.  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                             |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                     |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development? |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring   |
|   | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest   |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?                          | do no merge together.  |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     |  |
|   | from the Green Belt?  |  |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly        |  |
|   | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?             |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Moderate Contribution. The GB boundary is the rear of properties on Rushley Drive. This is a weak feature which lacks uniformity and is vulnerable to future encroachment. The existing use of the land in this parcel is for agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB. The open nature of this parcel |  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | provides good openness with the countryside to the south.   |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.  |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE DAD  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |  |

### **OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL**

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, moderate contribution to one purpose and strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. The parcel makes a strong contribution to maintaining a strategic gap between settlements and forms an open tract of countryside to the south. It should be noted there are weak northern boundaries to this parcel which are vulnerable to future encroachment.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH02                |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Rakes | Head Lane, Hest Bank   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     | Ticau Euric) Ticat Bunk  |  |
| NORTH:                                 |  |  |
| EAST:                                  |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                 |  |  |
| WEST:                                  |  |  |
| WLS1.                                  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED      |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                        | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                       | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Is the parcel free from development?   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                             | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> </ul> | large built up areas.  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                                      |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                              |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?          |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING        | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap           | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring   |
|  | between named settlements?   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest   |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?                                   | do no merge together.  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed              |  |
|  | from the Green Belt?   |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly                 |  |
|  | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or           |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                      |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what            | Strong Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is for  |
|  | the boundary consist of?   | agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB and      |
|  | What do the other boundaries consist of?   | ensures the parcel is highly open and has a good relationship  |
| L                                      | 10.00  |  |

|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term? | with the countryside to the south. The parcel is detached from the settlement area. The flat nature of the parcel does provide a |
|--|--|--|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | strong degree of openness.   |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                                 |  |
|  | safeguarded?   |  |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?   |  |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?   |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |  |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                                 |  |
|  | safeguarded?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A</b> | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?                                      | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special                                 | definition of a historic town.   |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.  |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield                                  | Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel   |
|  | sites (capacity)?  | therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.   |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR          | CEL  |  |
| STRONG CONTRIBUTION The parcel ma        | akes no contribution to three purposes and strong contribution to two purposes.                          | Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. The parcel makes a   |
| strong contribution to maintaining a str |  |  |

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH03                 |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the West of Sea Vie | ew Drive, Hest Bank   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      | ·   |  |
| NORTH:                                  |   |  |
| EAST:                                   |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                  |   |  |
| WEST:                                   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       | D SDRAWL OF LARGE RUILT LID AREAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up   |
| NIBBON DEVELOPIVILINI                   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does    |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | It is the parcel free from development?      It is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the     |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | large built up areas.  |
| METARCE                                 | Does the parter have a sense of spraw from dibanising features?     Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   | and a second second  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          |   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?      Do apportunities exist to form a more surfacionable pattern of development?  |  |
|   | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?      What is the second line of the second line letting to the second line of the seco |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING         | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring     |
|   | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest     |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | do no merge together.  |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   |  |
|   | from the Green Belt?  |  |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |  |
|   | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PLIRPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUAPDING      | <br>G THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Moderate Contribution. The use of the parcel is managed          |
| BOOKS, MITTER TORIES                    | the boundary consist of?  | grassland, it is not clear whether this is used for agricultural |
|   | the boundary consist or:  | brassiana, it is not occur whether this is used for agricultulal |

| <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul> | purposes. The GB boundary is the rear of properties on Sea View Drive which is a weak feature which is vulnerable to encroachment. The slightly elevated nature of this parcel does   |
|--|---|
| What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | provide a good degree of openness to countryside to the west  |
| • Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?  | and south.  |
| <ul><li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li><li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li></ul>                                |   |
| What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |   |
| <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>  |   |
| • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the  |
| What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | definition of a historic town.  |
| character of the historic town?  |   |
| • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |
| CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | No Contribution The parcel is adjacent to Shine with Hest   |
| <ul><li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li></ul>  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.  |
| <ul><li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li><li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield</li></ul>                      | Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel  |
|  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> </ul> |

STRONG CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, moderate contribution to one purpose and strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a strong purpose. The parcel makes a strong contribution to maintaining a strategic gap between settlements, however whilst generally uniform the Green Belt boundary in this area is a weak future which is vulnerable to future encroachment.

| Has the parcel already  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  Opes the parcel have a Does this land form an Opportunities FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  Obes the parcel form a between named settle What is the current ga What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  O natural features or boundary which mains   | JP AREAS   |  |
|--|--|--|
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES: NORTH: EAST: SOUTH: WEST:  PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  | JP AREAS   |  |
| NORTH: EAST: SOUTH: WEST:  PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILTISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  DOES the parcel form and the parcel have a service of the parcel form and between named settle of the parcel have a service of the parcel form and between named settle of the parcel have a service of the parcel form and between named settle of the parcel have a service of the parcel form and between named settle of the parcel form and parter of  | JP AREAS   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  DOES the parcel play a end to be the parcel play a end to be the parcel already  Note that the parcel already  Has the parcel play a end to be the parcel from a possible parcel have a end to be the parcel have a end to be the parcel have a end to be the parcel asson to be the parcel form a possible parcel  | JP AREAS   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  Does the parcel form an between named settle  What is the current ga  What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement an BOUNDARY FEATURES  Do natural features or boundary which mains   | JP AREAS   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  Does the parcel free from How many sides is the Do opportunities exist What is the overall ass  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  Does the parcel form a between named settle What is the current ga What would the gap be from the Green Belt? Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  Do natural features or boundary which mains   | JP AREAS   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  RIBBON DEVELOPMENT   | JP AREAS   |  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  POes the parcel play a Has the parcel already Has the parcel free from Does the parcel have a Does the parcel have a Does this land form an DOPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  PURPOSE 3: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING UNDARY FEATURES  PURPOSE 3: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING UNDARY FEATURES  PURPOSE 3: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING UNDARY FEATURES  Does the parcel form a between named settle What is the current ga What would the gap b from the Green Belt? Would the removal of separate settlement as DO natural features or boundary which mains   | JP AREAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a • Has the parcel already LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel free from • Does the parcel have a • Does this land form an OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  • Do opportunities exist • What is the overall ass  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel form a between named settle • What is the current ga • What would the gap be from the Green Belt? • Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or boundary which mains   | JP AREAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a • Has the parcel already LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel free from • Does the parcel have a • Does this land form an OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  • Do opportunities exist • What is the overall ass  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel form a between named settle • What is the current ga • What would the gap be from the Green Belt? • Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or boundary which mains   | UP AREAS   |  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  O Does the parcel play a Has the parcel already  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  O Does the parcel form and the parcel series with the overall assues the overall assues the overall assues for consideration is the current gase. What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  O Does the parcel form and between named settle.  What is the current gase. What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  O Does the parcel form and between named settle.  What is the current gase. What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  O Does the parcel play and the parcel form and between named settle.  O Does the parcel form and between named settle.  O Does the parcel form and between named settle.  O Does the parcel form and between named settle.  O Does the parcel free from the open and the parcel form and between named settle.  O Does the parcel free from the parcel form and between named settle.  O Does the parcel free from the parcel form and between named settle.  O Does the parcel free from the parcel form and between named settle.  |  | ASSESSMENT   |
| Has the parcel already  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  Opes the parcel have a Does this land form an Opportunities FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  Obes the parcel form a between named settle What is the current ga What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  O natural features or boundary which mains   |  |  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL  Operation of the parcel have a bost the parcel have a Does this land form an Opportunities for rounding off the SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  Obost the parcel form a between named settle what is the current ga What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement at BOUNDARY FEATURES  Obos the parcel form a between named settle what is the current ga Own the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement at BOUNDARY FEATURES  On natural features or boundary which mains   | ole in preventing ribbon development?  | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up                     |
| THE PARCEL  Does the parcel have a Does this land form an How many sides is the Does Does Does Does Does Does Does Doe   | een compromised by ribbon development?   | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does                      |
| Does this land form an OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT     OVERALL ASSESSMENT     What is the overall ass  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL     Obes the parcel form a between named settle what is the current gae what would the gap be from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES     On atural features or boundary which mains   | •  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the                       |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  ODes the parcel form a between named settle What is the current ga What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement as  BOUNDARY FEATURES  O natural features or boundary which mains  | ense of sprawl from urbanising features?   | large built up areas.  |
| THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall ass  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel form a between named settle • What is the current ga • What would the gap be from the Green Belt? • Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or boundary which mains   | xtensive tract of land?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  ODoes the parcel form a between named settle What is the current ga What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  ODO natural features or boundary which mains   | arcel surrounded by development?   | ]  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  ODoes the parcel form a between named settle What is the current ga What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  ODO natural features or boundary which mains   | o form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel form a between named settle • What is the current ga • What would the gap be from the Green Belt? • Would the removal of separate settlement as  BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or boundary which mains   | ssment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | 1  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel form a between named settle • What is the current ga • What would the gap be from the Green Belt? • Would the removal of separate settlement and set |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel form a between named settle • What is the current ga • What would the gap be from the Green Belt? • Would the removal of separate settlement and set |  |  |
| Does the parcel form a between named settle     What is the current ga     What would the gap be from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES  BOUNDARY FEATURES  Do natural features or boundary which mains  | ITO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| between named settle  What is the current ga  What would the gap be from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of separate settlement as  BOUNDARY FEATURES  Do natural features or boundary which mains  |  | ASSESSMENT   |
| What is the current ga     What would the gap be from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of separate settlement as  BOUNDARY FEATURES     Do natural features or boundary which mains   | •  | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring                       |
| What would the gap be from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of separate settlement as BOUNDARY FEATURES     Do natural features or boundary which mains   | essential, largely essential or less essential gap   |  |
| from the Green Belt?  • Would the removal of separate settlement at BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or boundary which mains   |  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest                       |
| Would the removal of separate settlement at BOUNDARY FEATURES     Do natural features or boundary which mains  |  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest do no merge together. |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or boundary which mains   | nents?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or boundary which mains   | nents?<br>between these settlements?   |  |
| boundary which mains   | nents?<br>between these settlements?<br>ween settlements be should this parcel be removed  |  |
| boundary which mains   | nents? between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |  |
|  | nents? between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |  |
|  | nents? between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed ne gap result in the merging of two distinctly as?  ofrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |  |
|  | nents? between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed the gap result in the merging of two distinctly as? If rastructure provide a strong physical barrier or the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
|  | nents? between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed ne gap result in the merging of two distinctly as?  ofrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM   | nents? between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed the gap result in the merging of two distinctly as? If rastructure provide a strong physical barrier or the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION CRITERIA  | hents? between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed the gap result in the merging of two distinctly as? Infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or the presence of a gap between settlements? Sesment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES • Does the parcel form p   | hents? between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed the gap result in the merging of two distinctly as? Infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or the presence of a gap between settlements? Sesment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| the boundary consist of  | hents? between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed the gap result in the merging of two distinctly as? Infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or the presence of a gap between settlements? Sesment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | do no merge together.  |
| What do the other box  | between these settlements?  ween settlements be should this parcel be removed  be gap result in the merging of two distinctly  as?  ofrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  he presence of a gap between settlements?  ssment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENCROACHMENT  rt of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what | do no merge together.  ASSESSMENT  |
| the boundary consist of  | between these settlements? ween settlements be should this parcel be removed be gap result in the merging of two distinctly as? Infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or the presence of a gap between settlements? Soment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENCROACHMENT   | do no merge together.  ASSESSMENT  |

|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?  | the settlement area. The elevated nature of this parcel provides a good degree of openness.   |
|--|---|---|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)  EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL     | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be</li> </ul> |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul><li>safeguarded?</li><li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li></ul>  | _   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose. |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR  | CEL   |   |
| STRONG CONTRIBUTION The parcel m                                       | akes no contribution to three purposes and strong contribution to two purposes  | . Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. The parcel makes a  |

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes and strong contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. The parcel makes a strong contribution to maintaining a strategic gap between settlements and forms an open tract of countryside to the south.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH05                 |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the South of Sea Di | rive, Hest Bank   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      |   |   |
| NORTH:                                  |   |   |
| EAST:                                   |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                  |   |   |
| WEST:                                   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       | O SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?               | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?              | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does         |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the          |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?            | large built up areas.   |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                             |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                     |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development? |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|   |   |   |
| DUDDOSE 2: DDEVENT NEIGHDOUDING         | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring          |
| EGG/MON OF THE FAMOLE                   | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest          |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?                          | do no merge together.   |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     |   |
|   | from the Green Belt?  |   |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly        |   |
|   | separate settlement areas?  |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical             |   |
|   | barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between               |   |
|   | settlements?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |

| BOUNDARY FEATURES                        | - Doos the narreal form part of the existing Croon Bolt hours for 2 If an other                    | Strong Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is for          |
|--|--|--|
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                        | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of? | agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB and              |
|  | What do the other boundaries consist of?   | ensures the parcel is highly open. There is some relationship          |
|  |  | with the settlement area. There is a portion of GB boundary            |
|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and                                  | which consists of rear of properties and an extensive tree belt.       |
| EVICTING LIDDAN FEATURES                 | prevent encroachment in the long term?   | These two features combined provide a defined and permanent            |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | feature.   |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                           | reacure.   |
|  | safeguarded?   |  |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?                                     |  |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?   |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |  |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                           |  |
|  | safeguarded?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                          |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A        | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?                                | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special                           | definition of a historic town.   |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                          |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.            |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield                            | Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel           |
|  | sites (capacity)?  | therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.                       |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                          |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC         |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes and strong contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. The parcel makes a strong contribution to maintaining a strategic gap between settlements and forms an open tract of countryside to the south.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH06                                    |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Reanes Wood, Hest                      | t Bank   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:   |  |  |
| NORTH:   |  |  |
| EAST:  |  |  |
| SOUTH:   |  |  |
| WEST:  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| DUDDOCE 1. CHECK THE LINDESTRICTE                          | CODAMI OF LARCE RIBIT UP AREAS   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
| KIBBON DEVELOPIVIENT                                       | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN                            |  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL   | Is the parcel free from development?  Prove the parcel have a series of execut from unbenising features?  Output  Description: | large built up areas.  |
| THE PARCEL   | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?      Does this land form on outcoming treat of land?          | large built up areas.  |
| ODDODTH MITTER FOR DOLLMOING OFF                           | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT              | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |  |
|  | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING                            | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                                     | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap   | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring   |
|  | between named settlements?   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest   |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?   | do no merge together.  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed  |  |
|  | from the Green Belt?   |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly   |  |
|  | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

| <b>PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING</b>                               | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
|--|---|---|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Moderate Contribution. The predominant use of this parcel is for amenity space and natural environment / wetland. There are significant proportions of the GB boundary which involve the rear of properties and the lack of uniformity could leave the boundaries vulnerable to future encroachment. The parcel has a |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | strong relationship with the settlement area. The topography of this parcel and the dense tree line limit wider openness into the countryside.  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, moderate contribution to one purpose and strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. The parcel makes a strong contribution to maintaining a strategic gap between settlements and forms an open tract of countryside to the south.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH07                |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Brantholme, Hest E | Bank  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     |   |  |
| NORTH:                                 |   |  |
| EAST:                                  |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                 |   |  |
| WEST:                                  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE       | D SDDAMI OF LADGE BUILT LID ADEAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up   |
| KIBBON DEVELOPIVIENT                   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does    |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | It is the parcel already been compromised by hisbori development:      Is the parcel free from development?                                   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the     |
| THE PARCEL                             | · ·   | large built up areas.  |
| THE PARCEL                             | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> <li>Does this land form an extensive tract of land?</li> </ul> | ia. Se saint ap areas.   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         |   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
|  | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING        | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring     |
|  | between named settlements?  | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest     |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | do no merge together.  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   |  |
|  | from the Green Belt?  |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |  |
|  | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| DUDDOCE 2. ACCICT IN CAFECUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Moderate Contribution. The use of this parcel is for residential |
| BOUNDANT FEATURES                      |   | purposes connected to the property at Brantholme. The parcel is  |
|  | the boundary consist of?  | purposes connected to the property at brantholine. The parcer is |

|   | What do the other boundaries consist of?  | detached from the settlement area. The elevated nature of the   |
|---|---|---|
|   | <ul> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and<br/>prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>  | parcel does provide open views to the countryside in the west.  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES   | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  |   |
| (OPENESS)   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |   |
|   | <ul><li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li><li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li></ul>   |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?  |   |
|   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A   | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |
|   | ADJECT A  | ASSESSMENT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA  | ASSESSIVIENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND  | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the  |
|   | -   |   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND  | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND  | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                           | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT  No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul> | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT  No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel |

strong contribution. The parcel makes a strong contribution to maintaining a strategic gap between settlements and forms an open tract of countryside to the south.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH08                |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the West of Hest B | ank Lane. Hest Bank  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     | ann Euro) Freds Burn   |  |
| NORTH:                                 |  |  |
| EAST:                                  |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                 |  |  |
| WEST:                                  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE       |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                                | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                               | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Is the parcel free from development?   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                             | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?                             | large built up areas.  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                                      |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?                  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                    |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap                   | Strong Contribution. The parcel forms a key area in ensuring   |
|  | between named settlements?   | that the settlements of Morecambe (Bare) and Slyne-with-Hest   |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?   | do no merge together.  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt? |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly                         |  |
|  | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or                   |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                              |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                    |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Moderate Contribution. The GB boundary is the rear of properties on Hest Bank Lane. Whilst this is a weak feature it does have some degree of uniformity. The existing use of the land in this parcel is for agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB. The elevated nature of this parcel provides a good |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | degree of openness into the wider countryside.   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, moderate contribution to one purpose and strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. The parcel makes a strong contribution to maintaining a strategic gap between settlements and forms an open tract of countryside to the south.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH09               |   |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the West of Hasty | Brow Road   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                    |   |  |
| NORTH:                                |   |  |
| EAST:                                 |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                |   |  |
| WEST:                                 |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE      | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                    | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|                                       | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN       | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                            | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | large built up areas.  |
|                                       | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF        | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                        | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Moderate Contribution. The parcel does assist in ensuring that |
|                                       | between named settlements?  | the settlements of Slyne-with-Hest and Morecambe               |
|                                       | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | (Torrisholme) do not merge together.                           |
|                                       | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   |  |
|                                       | from the Green Belt?  |  |
|                                       | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or<br>boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements? |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSIVILIVI                 | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose:   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Weak Contribution. The level of residential development which has taken place within this parcel has significantly affected the openness of the locality.                        |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose. |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, a weak contribution to one purpose and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel has a significant level of built development which has led to encroachment and a reduction in openness.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH10                 |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Stande | erlands Farm Slyne  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      | enands raini, siyne   |  |
| NORTH:                                  |   |  |
| EAST:                                   |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                  |   |  |
| WEST:                                   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | large built up areas.  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   | TOWNS FROM MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
|   | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   | ACCECCATAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Moderate Contribution. The parcel does assist in ensuring that |
|   | between named settlements?  | the settlements of Slyne-with-Hest and Morecambe               |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | (Torrisholme) do not merge together.                           |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed   |  |
|   | from the Green Belt?  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly<br/>separate settlement areas?</li> </ul> |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul> | Strong Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is for agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB and ensures the parcel is highly open. The parcel has a strong relationship with the countryside to the south. |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   |  |
| (OPENESS)  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul>  |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, a moderate contribution to one purpose and a strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a strong contribution. The parcel is in agricultural use and is open and has a good relationship with the wider countryside.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH11                |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Beech Grove, Slyne |  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     |  |  |
| NORTH:                                 |  |  |
| EAST:                                  |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                 |  |  |
| WEST:                                  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED      | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                        | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                       | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Is the parcel free from development?   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                             | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> </ul> | large built up areas.  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                                      |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                              |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?          |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap           | Moderate Contribution. The parcel does assist in ensuring that |
|  | between named settlements?   | the settlements of Slyne-with-Hest and Morecambe               |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?                                   | (Torrisholme) do not merge together.                           |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed              |  |
|  | from the Green Belt?   |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly                 |  |
| DOUND A DV FEATURES                    | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or           |  |
| OVERALL ACCECCAMENT                    | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                      |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Weak Contribution. The level of residential development which has taken place within this parcel has significantly affected the openness of the locality.                        |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | _  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose. |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, a weak contribution to one purpose and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel has a significant level of built development which has led to encroachment and a reduction in openness.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH12                          |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the West of Hest Ba          | ank Lane. Slyne   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                               |   |  |
| NORTH:   |   |  |
| EAST:  |   |  |
| SOUTH:   |   |  |
| WEST:  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED                |   | ACCECCATEAUT   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                         | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                               | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel does not adjoin the large built up      |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does              |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN                  | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the               |
| THE PARCEL                                       | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | large built up areas.  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF                   | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                                   | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                               | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| DUDDOCE 2. DDEVENT NEICHBOUDING                  | TOWARD FROM MERCINIC INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  CRITERIA   | ACCECCNAENT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL |   | ASSESSMENT  Moderate Contribution. The parcel does assist in ensuring that |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                           | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap     hat your parcel and the market?          | ,  |
|  | between named settlements?  | the settlements of Slyne-with-Hest and Morecambe                           |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | (Torrisholme) do not merge together.                                       |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?                            |  |
|  |   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly<br/>separate settlement areas?</li> </ul> |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                                | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                                | , , , ,   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                               | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSIVIEIVI                            | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  | l   |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Moderate Contribution. The parcel is predominantly used for agricultural purposes, however there are significant urban influences to both the North and South which has diminished openness. |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | Moderate Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town. However, the historic assets of the Ashton Memorial and Lancaster Castle are visible     |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | from this parcel.  |
|  | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.             |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

MODERATE CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to two purposes and moderate contribution to three purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel performs relatively well in terms of being open and in agricultural use, the parcels relationship to surrounding development, both in and out of the Green Belt have an impact on its overall contribution.

| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES POR ROUNDING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES POR ROUNDING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  OPPORTUNITIES WE THE MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR  | PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH13                |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| NORTH: ESST: SOUTH: WEST:  PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? He PARCEL  Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel already been an extensive tract of land? Does the parcel already been an extensive tract of land? Does the parcel already been an extensive tract of land? Does the parcel already been and therefore does not adjoin the large built up areas of lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the large built up areas.  Does the parcel already been and extensive tract of land? Does the parcel already been and extensive tract of land? Does the parcel already been and extensive tract of land? Does the parcel already been and extensive tract of land? Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land? Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between the parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Does the parcel form an essential in the merging of two distinctly separate settlements?  What sould the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  Does the parcel already been settl | SITE TITLE: Land at Lancaster Road / H | lest Bank Lane, Slyne   |  |
| EAST: SOUTH: WEST:  PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  - Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? - Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? - Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? - Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OF - Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OF - What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  - Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements? - What is the current gap between these settlements? - What would the gap between settlements? - What would the gap between these settlements? - Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  - Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements? - What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  • Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? • Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? • Does the parcel free from development? • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features? • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features? • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  • Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between these settlements? • What is the current gap between these settlements? • What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?  • Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  • Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | NORTH:                                 |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION RIBBOD DEVELOPMENT  Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel laready been unrestricted sprawl from the large built up areas.  Does the parcel laready been mande successful from a more sustainable pattern of development? Does the parcel laready been mande successful from the faready been senting to the parcel in relation to this purpose?  Does the parcel free from development? Does the parcel laready the unrestricted sprawl from the large built up areas.  Does the parcel laready the unrestricted sprawl from the large built up areas.  Does the parcel laready the unrestricted sprawl from the large built up areas.  Does the parcel free from development? Does the parcel successful from an essential  | EAST:                                  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel form development? Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features? Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features? Does this land form an extensive tract of land? Does this land form an extensive tract of land? Does this land form an extensive tract of land? Does this land form an extensive tract of land? Does this land form an extensive tract of land? Does this land form an extensive tract of land? Does this land form an extensive tract of land? Does this land form an extensive tract of land? Does the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION CRITERIA Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between amed settlements? What is the current gap between these settlements? What the current gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt? Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | SOUTH:                                 |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   CRITERIA   Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   Sister parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form and extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form and extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form and extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form and extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form and extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel form and extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to the parcel par   | WEST:                                  |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   CRITERIA   Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   Sister parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form an essential form and therefore does not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the large built up areas.   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel parce   |  |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   CRITERIA   Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   Sister parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel free from development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   Does the parcel form an essential form and therefore does not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the large built up areas.   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive tract of development?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel form an extensive traction to this purpose?   Does the parcel parce   |  |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   CRITERIA   Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   Sister parcel free from development?   Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   Does poportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   Does poportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?    PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   Susues For Consideration   Susues For Consideration   Criteria   Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?   What is the current gap between these settlements?   What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?   Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?   Doe natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.   Lancaster.   Lancaster.   Lancaster.   Lancaster.   Lancaster.   Lancaster.   Lancaster.   Lancaster.   Lan   |  |   |  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development? Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  Does the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? Does the parcel free from development? Does the parcel free from development? Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features? Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  Does the parcel average a sense of sprawl from urbanising features? What is the current gap between these settlements? What is the current gap between settlements? What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt? Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements? What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |   |  |
| Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN  THE PARCEL  Does the parcel free from development?  Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  What is the current gap between these settlements?  What is the current gap between settlements?  What is the current gap between settlements?  What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the foren Belt?  Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |   |  |
| UNDARY FEATURES  • Is the parcel free from development?  • Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  • Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  • PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  • Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?  • What is the current gap between these settlements?  • What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?  • Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  • Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     |   |  |
| THE PARCEL  Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features? Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements? What is the current gap between these settlements? What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt? Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features? What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  Iarge built up areas.  ASSESSMENT  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  |  |   |  |
| ODES this land form an extensive tract of land? OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT OVERALL ASSESSMENT      What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION LOCATION OF THE PARCEL      Obes the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?     What would the gap between these settlements?     What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                       |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development? • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  • Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements? • What is the current gap between these settlements? • What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt? • Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | THE PARCEL                             |   | large built up areas.                                      |
| THE SETTLEMENT  Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?  What is the current gap between these settlements?  What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                             |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?  • What is the current gap between these settlements?  • What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?  • Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  CRITERIA  Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements? What is the current gap between these settlements? What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt? Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  | THE SETTLEMENT                         | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development? |  |
| CRITERIA   Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?   What is the current gap between these settlements?   What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?   Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?   Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  | OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| CRITERIA   Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?   What is the current gap between these settlements?   What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?   Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?   Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  |  |   |  |
| CRITERIA   Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?   What is the current gap between these settlements?   What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?   Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?   Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?   Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.    Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and Lancaster.  | DUDDOCE 2. DDEVENT NEIGUD OUDING       | TOWAR FROM MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  • Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?  • What is the current gap between these settlements?  • What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?  • Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |   | ACCECCNAENT  |
| between named settlements?  What is the current gap between these settlements?  What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?  Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |   |  |
| What is the current gap between these settlements?     What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES     Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  OVERALL ASSESSMENT     What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 |   | · · ·  |
| <ul> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>BOUNDARY FEATURES</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>OVERALL ASSESSMENT</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |
| from the Green Belt?  • Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |   | Lancaster.   |
| Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES     Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  OVERALL ASSESSMENT     What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                       |  |
| separate settlement areas?  BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |   |  |
| boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   | DOLINDARY FEATURES                     | '   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  | DOUNDARY FEATURES                      |   |  |
|  | OVED ALL ACCECCMENT                    |   |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  | OVERALL ASSESSIVIEIVI                  | • what is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |  |   |  |
|  | PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION CRITERIA ASSESSMENT   |  |   | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  • Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what  Weak Contribution. The parcel is predominantly residential   | BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what   | Weak Contribution. The parcel is predominantly residential |
| the boundary consist of?  development with no obvious GB purposes contained within it.   |  | _ ·   |  |

|                                   | What do the other boundaries consist of?   | Whilst it is noted that the parcel is separate from the settlement     |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
|                                   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and  | area there are no land use purposes contained within the parcel        |
|                                   | prevent encroachment in the long term?   | which are worthy of safeguarding within the GB.                        |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES           | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   |  |
| (OPENESS)                         | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>  |  |
|                                   | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?   |  |
|                                   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?   |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?   |  |
|                                   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be   |  |
|                                   | safeguarded?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND    | • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | definition of a historic town.   |
| SETTING                           | character of the historic town?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL      | • Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.            |
|                                   | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield  | Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel           |
|                                   | sites (capacity)?  | therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.                       |
| _                                 | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC  | CEL CONTROL CO |  |

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, a weak contribution to one purpose and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a

weak contribution. The parcel has a significant level of built development which has led to encroachment and a reduction in openness.

96

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH14                                   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land South of Throstle Gro                    | ve, Slyne  |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:  |  |   |
| NORTH:  |  |   |
| EAST:   |  |   |
| SOUTH:  |  |   |
| WEST:   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| DUDDOCE 4. CHECK THE UNDESTRICTE                          | D CDDAWN OF LADOF BUILT UP ADEAC   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
|   |  |   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?      Heaths parcel played who are constructed by ribbon development? | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel does not adjoin the large built up areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does |
| LEVEL OF EVICTING CDDAM! MITHIN                           | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN                           | • Is the parcel free from development?   | large built up areas.   |
| THE PARCEL  | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   | laige built up areas.   |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF                            | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT  | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
|   |  |   |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING                           | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                                    | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap   | Weak Contribution. The parcel performs a limited role in  |
|   | between named settlements?   | providing a non-essential gap between Slyne-with-Hest and   |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?   | Lancaster.  |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed  |   |
|   | from the Green Belt?   |   |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly   |   |
|   | separate settlement areas?   |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES   | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |   |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING  | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
|--|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Moderate Contribution. The parcel is used for agricultural purposes, which is consistent with the GB. Given the levels of sprawl which have occurred in parcel BLS13 to the south this area of land is important to ensure that wider encroachment does not occur in the south of the settlement. The parcel adjoins |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)  | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | the settlement area however there is a strong and defensible boundary at Throstle Grove.   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | -  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A  | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>              | Moderate Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town. However, the historic assets of the Ashton Memorial and Lancaster Castle are visible from this parcel.   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG  | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

MODERATE CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes and a moderate contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The open nature of the parcel and its elevation, providing views towards the historic core of Lancaster and mitigated by the presence of urban development to both the north and south.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH15                 |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the Rear of Slyne G | Grange, Slyne  |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      |  |   |
| NORTH:                                  |  |   |
| EAST:                                   |  |   |
| SOUTH:                                  |  |   |
| WEST:                                   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE        | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                        | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up    |
| INIBBOTT DEVELOT METT                   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                       | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does     |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | • Is the parcel free from development?   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the      |
| THE PARCEL                              | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> </ul> | large built up areas.   |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                                      |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                              |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?            |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |
|   | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap           | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of        |
|   | between named settlements?   | separating two towns.   |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?                                   |   |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed              |   |
|   | from the Green Belt?   |   |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly                 |   |
| DOLINDARY FEATURES                      | separate settlement areas?   |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or           |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                      |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSIVIEIVI                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |   |
|   |  |   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING       | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what            | Moderate Contribution. The land within the parcel has been        |
|   | the boundary consist of?   | sub-divided into smaller plots and it is difficult to conclude in |

|                                   | What do the other boundaries consist of?  | places whether these are agricultural or domestic in use. The  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and   | Western boundary to the parcel makes use of ad-hoc rear of   |
|                                   | prevent encroachment in the long term?  | properties which are poor and vulnerable to future   |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES           | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  | encroachment. The parcel has some relationship with the  |
| (OPENESS)                         | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul> | settlement area to the West. The topography of this land, which slopes upward to the east, restricts the levels of openness to the |
|                                   | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?  | east.  |
|                                   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?  |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?  |  |
|                                   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                                      |  |
|                                   | safeguarded?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                     |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND    | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special                                      | definition of a historic town.   |
| SETTING                           | character of the historic town?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                     |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL      | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?   | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.  |
|                                   | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield                                       | Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel   |
|                                   | sites (capacity)?   | therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.   |
|                                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                     |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC  | CEL   |  |

WEAK CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to four purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel has poor

Green Belt boundary which is vulnerable to future encroachment. The parcel is highly sub-divided which reduces levels of openness.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH16                |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the Rear of Ashton | House Farm, Slyne  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     | 110000 1 01111 011110  |  |
| NORTH:                                 |  |  |
| EAST:                                  |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                 |  |  |
| WEST:                                  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED      |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Is the parcel free from development?   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                             | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?   | large built up areas.  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |
| DUDDOCE 2. DDEVENT NEICHBOURING        | TOWARD FROM MERCINIC INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  | ACCECCAGAIT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap     between any advertisers and a statements? | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of     |
|  | between named settlements?   | separating two towns.  |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?   |  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?                             |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly   |  |
|  | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                                      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
|--|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Moderate Contribution. The land within this parcel is used for agricultural purpose which is consistent with the GB. The parcel adjoins the settlement area to the west and makes use of an adhoc rear of properties which are poor and vulnerable to future encroachment. Due to an elevated position there is some |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | relationship with the wider countryside to the east.   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to four purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel has poor Green Belt boundary which is vulnerable to future encroachment. The parcel is highly sub-divided which reduces levels of openness.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH17                |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Slyne Caravan Park | r, Bottomdale Road, Slyne   |   |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     | · · ·   |   |
| NORTH:                                 |   |   |
| EAST:                                  |   |   |
| SOUTH:                                 |   |   |
| WEST:                                  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE       | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                                   | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up  |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                                  | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the    |
| THE PARCEL                             | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?                                | large built up areas.   |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |   |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?                       |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                       |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap                      | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of      |
|  | between named settlements?  | separating two towns.   |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  |   |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed                         |   |
|  | from the Green Belt?  |   |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas? |   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or                      |   |
| BOOMBANI FLATORES                      | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                                 |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                       |   |
| O VERVICE ASSESSIVILIVI                | vinat is the overall assessment of the parter in relation to this purpose:                      |   |
|  |   |   |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what                       | Moderate Contribution. The parcel is used for agricultural      |
|  | the boundary consist of?  | purposes and is sub-divided into smaller plots. The boundary in |

|   | <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>   | this area consists of rear of properties which are poorly defined and could be vulnerable to future encroachment. The parcel is detached from the settlement area. The parcel is on an eastern |
|---|--|--|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES   | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?   | facing slope which provides some relationship with the wider   |
| (OPENESS)   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul>  | tracts of countryside to the east.   |
|   | • What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?   |  |
|   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?   |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | <ul><li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li></ul>   |  |
|   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be   |  |
|   | safeguarded?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A   | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND  | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the   |
| PROMINITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND  | is the hearest settlement to the purcer defined as a historic town.  | · ·  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | definition of a historic town.   |
|   | ·  | · ·  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | · ·  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?   | · ·  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | <ul> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | · ·  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                            | <ul> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> </ul>                               | definition of a historic town.   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  CRITERIA   | definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?  What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND CRITERIA  Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area? | ASSESSMENT  No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.  |

WEAK CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to four purposes and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel has been significantly impacted by the presence of Slyne Caravan Park which has introduced a significant level of development to the parcel.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH18                                    |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the East of Bottom                     | dale House, Slyne  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:   | , ,  |  |
| NORTH:   |  |  |
| EAST:  |  |  |
| SOUTH:   |  |  |
| WEST:  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| DUDDOCE 1. CHECK THE LINDESTRICTE                          | CODAMI OF LADOF BUILT UP ADEAS   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
| INDUN DEVELOFIVIENT  | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?     Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development? | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN                            |  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL   | Is the parcel free from development?  Prove the parcel have a series of executification features?                                | large built up areas.  |
| THE PARCEL   | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?      Does this land form on outcoming treat of land?            | large bant up areas.   |
| ODDODTH INITIES FOR DOLLNIDING OFF                         | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF THE SETTLEMENT              | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  |  |
|  | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING                            | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                                   | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                                     | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap   | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of     |
|  | between named settlements?   | separating two towns.  |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?   |  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed  |  |
|  | from the Green Belt?   |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly   |  |
|  | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                                      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
|--|---|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Strong Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is for agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB and ensures the parcel is highly open. The parcel is detached from the settlement area and has a strong relationship with the wider countryside areas which surround it. |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A                                      | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |

#### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to four purposes and a strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed a strong contribution. The parcel makes a strong contribution to safeguarding from encroachment as the parcel is open and forms part of wider tracts of countryside.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH19                 |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land West of Arncliffe Lane | e. Slyne   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                      | ,  |  |
| NORTH:                                  |  |  |
| EAST:                                   |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                  |  |  |
| WEST:                                   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED       | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                      | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?              | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?             | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN         | Is the parcel free from development?                                       | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                              | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?           | large built up areas.  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                            |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF          | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                    |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                          | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of     |
|   | between named settlements?   | separating two towns.  |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?                         |  |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed    |  |
|   | from the Green Belt?   |  |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly       |  |
|   | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or |  |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?            |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                      | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
|   |  |  |
| PLIRPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUAPDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                       | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what  | Strong Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is for  |
| BOOMBANN FEATONES                       | the boundary consist of?   | agricultural purposes which is consistent with the GB and      |
|   | the boundary consist or:   | abilicalitat at par poses which is consistent with the Ob and  |

|                                   | What do the other boundaries consist of?  | ensures the parcel is highly open. The parcel is detached from         |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and   | the settlement area and has a strong relationship with the wider       |
|                                   | prevent encroachment in the long term?  | countryside areas which surround it.                                   |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES           | <ul><li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li></ul>  |  |
| (OPENESS)                         | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be<br/>safeguarded?</li> </ul> |  |
|                                   | • What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?  |  |
|                                   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?  |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?  | 7  |
|                                   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be                                      |  |
|                                   | safeguarded?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                   |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND    | • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND   | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special                                      | definition of a historic town.   |
| SETTING                           | character of the historic town?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?                                   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION          | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL      | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> </ul>                                   | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.     |
|                                   | Boes the parcernate a relationship with an arban area.  | , , ,  |
|                                   | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield                                       | Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel           |
|                                   |   |  |
|                                   | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield                                       | Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel           |

STRONG CONTRIBUTION The parcel makes no contribution to four purposes and a strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed a strong contribution. The parcel makes a strong contribution to safeguarding from encroachment as the parcel is open and forms part of wider tracts of countryside.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH20                |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Slyne-with-Hest FC | Bottomdale Road, Slyne  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                     |   |  |
| NORTH:                                 |   |  |
| EAST:                                  |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                 |   |  |
| WEST:                                  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED      |   | ACCECCAMENT  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                     | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?               | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?              | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN        | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                             | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?            | large built up areas.  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                             |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF         | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                     |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                         | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development? |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| DUDDOCE A DDEL/ENT NEIGHBOURNING       | TOWNS FROM MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
|  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   | ACCEPTATION  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                 | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of     |
|  | between named settlements?  | separating two towns.  |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?                          |  |
|  | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed     |  |
|  | from the Green Belt?  |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly        |  |
|  | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                      | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or  |  |
|  | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?             |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                     | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| DURDOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING      | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| 1330E3 FUR CUNSIDERATION               | CRITERIA  | ASSESSIVIEIVI  |

| BOUNDARY FEATURES                        | Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what | Strong Contribution. The predominant use of the parcel is for          |
|--|---|--|
|  | the boundary consist of?  | outdoor recreational purposes which is consistent with the GB          |
|  | What do the other boundaries consist of?                                  | and ensures the parcel is highly open. It also includes the            |
|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and         | commonwealth graveyard which is of high public amenity value           |
|  | prevent encroachment in the long term?                                    | and only has a very limited impact on the wider purposes of the        |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?                            | Green Belt. The parcel is detached from the settlement area.           |
| (OPENESS)                                | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  | The elevated nature of the parcel provides open views into the         |
|  | safeguarded?  | wider tracts of countryside to the east.                               |
|  | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?            |  |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?                    |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | What is the existing land use within the parcel?                          |  |
|  | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |  |
|  | safeguarded?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A        | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN                                   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?       | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special  | definition of a historic town.   |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND                   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?                   | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.            |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield   | Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel           |
|  | sites (capacity)?   | therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.                       |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose? |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE DARK         |   |  |

### **OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL**

**STRONG CONTRIBUTION** The parcel makes no contribution to four purposes and a strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed a strong contribution. The parcel makes a strong contribution to safeguarding from encroachment as the parcel is open and forms part of wider tracts of countryside.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH21                   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land at Slyne Hall Heights, I | Lancaster Road, Slyne  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                        |  |  |
| NORTH:                                    |  |  |
| EAST:                                     |  |  |
| SOUTH:                                    |  |  |
| WEST:                                     |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED         |  | 4.00500045417  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                        | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?  | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?   | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN           | • Is the parcel free from development?   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                                | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> </ul>   | large built up areas.  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?  |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF            | <ul><li>How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?</li></ul>  |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                            | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                        | <ul> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  |  |
|   |  |  |
| DUDDOCE 3. DDEVENT NEIGUDOUDING           | TOWARD FROM MERCING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                    |  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of     |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                    | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap<br/>between named settlements?</li> </ul>                        | separating two towns.  |
|   |  | separating two towns.  |
|   | <ul><li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li><li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed</li></ul> |  |
|   | from the Green Belt?   |  |
|   |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly<br/>separate settlement areas?</li> </ul>                              |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                         | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   |  |
| DOUNDANTILATONES                          | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                        | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |  |
| O V LIMEL MODESSIVILIVI                   | • what is the overall assessment of the parter in relation to this purpose:  |  |
|   |  |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING         | THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                         |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what</li> </ul>  | Strong Contribution. A significant proportion of the parcel is |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                  | CRITERIA   |  |

|  | <ul> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul> | land used for agricultural (pastoral) purposes. There is a significant elevation of land to the eastern portion of the parcel which severs the open views of the countryside to the east. |
|--|--|---|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)        | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be</li> </ul>                           |   |
|  | <ul><li>safeguarded?</li><li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li><li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li></ul>           |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>            |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A</b> | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?  | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special   | definition of a historic town.  |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?  |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND  |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?  | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.   |
|  | What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?  | Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.   |
|  |  |   |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?  |   |

MODERATE CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to four purposes and a strong contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed a moderate contribution. The parcel scores lower that surrounding parcels to the east due to the topography of land, which reduces openness and visually separates the parcel from the wider tracts of countryside to the east. The parcel also includes a substantial residential development.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH22   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the North of Slyne  | Hall Heights  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:  | •   |  |
| NORTH:  |   |  |
| EAST:   |   |  |
| SOUTH:  |   |  |
| WEST:   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE  | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up   |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN   | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL  | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | large built up areas.  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF  | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT  | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|   | what is the overall assessment of the parter in relation to this parpose.   |  |
|   |   |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING   | TOWARD FROM MERCINIC INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
|   | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
|   | CRITERIA  • Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA     Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?     What is the current gap between these settlements?   | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA     Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA     Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?     What is the current gap between these settlements?   | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | Ones the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?     What is the current gap between these settlements?     What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA     Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?     What is the current gap between these settlements?     What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | Ones the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?     What is the current gap between these settlements?     What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION LOCATION OF THE PARCEL   | CRITERIA     Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?     What is the current gap between these settlements?     What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION LOCATION OF THE PARCEL   | CRITERIA     Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?     What is the current gap between these settlements?     What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?     Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?     Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or   | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  BOUNDARY FEATURES                               | <ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> </ul>  | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                          | <ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of separating two towns.   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of separating two towns.  ASSESSMENT   |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                          | <ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what</li> </ul> | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of separating two towns.  ASSESSMENT  Strong Contribution. The predominant use of this land is for |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap between named settlements?</li> <li>What is the current gap between these settlements?</li> <li>What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed from the Green Belt?</li> <li>Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. The parcel does not perform a function of separating two towns.  ASSESSMENT   |

|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?  | Road, whilst this is a uniform feature it is still considered to be a weak feature vulnerable to future encroachment. There a   |
|--|---|---|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES                  | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  | limited relationship with the settlement area. There is a   |
| (OPENESS)                                | <ul> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> </ul> | significant elevation of land to the eastern portion of the parcel which does sever some of the open views of the countryside to the east.                                |
|  | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?  |   |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>               |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A        | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the  |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND          | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special  | definition of a historic town.  |
| SETTING                                  | character of the historic town?   |   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| <b>PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG</b> | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>    | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose. |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARC         |   |   |
|  | akes no contribution to four purposes and a strong contribution to one purpose. Im encroachment as the parcel is open and its majority forms part of wider tracts                 |   |
| to topography.                           |   |   |

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH23               |   |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the South of Ashw | orth Drive, Bolton-le-Sands   |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                    |   |  |
| NORTH:                                |   |  |
| EAST:                                 |   |  |
| SOUTH:                                |   |  |
| WEST:                                 |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE      | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                    | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                         | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
| MIDDON DEVELOT WILING                 | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                        | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN       | Is the parcel free from development?  | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                            | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> </ul>  | large built up areas.  |
| THE PARCEL                            | ,   | and a same ap areas.   |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF        | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                                       |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                        | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?  Output  Description:         |  |
|                                       | Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?             |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?             |  |
|                                       |   |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING       | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL                | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap            | Moderate Contribution. The parcel provides a degree of         |
|                                       | between named settlements?  | separation between the settlements of Slyne-with-Hest and      |
|                                       | What is the current gap between these settlements?                                    | Bolton-le-Sands. However, this does not represent a strategic  |
|                                       | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed               | gap between settlements due to the significant level of        |
|                                       | from the Green Belt?  | development which has taken place to the west.                 |
|                                       | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly                  |  |
|                                       | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                     | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or            |  |
|                                       | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                       |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?             |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       | THE COUNTRYSIDE EDOM ENCOCACHMENT   |  |
| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING     |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
|                                       | CRITERIA  • Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what | Weak Contribution. The parcel has predominantly weak           |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION              | CRITERIA  |  |

|   | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and   | considered to be weak features. The existing land use consists of  |
|---|---|--|
|   | prevent encroachment in the long term?  | open countryside in agricultural use. The parcel has a strong  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES   | What are the existing land uses in the parcel?  | relationship with the settlement and is enclosed by it on three  |
| (OPENESS)   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  | sides. The topography of the parcel slopes steeply upwards from  |
|   | safeguarded?  | the settlement. There are no views across the parcel from the  |
|   | What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?  | settlement.  |
|   | What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?  |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL   | What is the existing land use within the parcel?  |  |
|   | Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be  |  |
|   | safeguarded?  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A</b>  | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
|   |   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND   | • Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | ASSESSMENT No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the  |
|   |   | 1.00000111011  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND  | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND  | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                         | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> </ul>   | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest.  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield</li> </ul> | No Contribution. Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  ASSESSMENT No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel |

### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, a weak contribution to one purpose and a moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this is classed as a weak contribution. The parcel makes a weak contribution to safeguarding the countryside given that it has predominantly weak boundaries which may be vulnerable to future encroachment, the parcel is also surrounded on three sides by residential development. It makes a moderate contribution towards the prevention settlements merging together, such a contribution is limited given the scale of merging which has already taken place to the west.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH24  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the South of Green   | wood Drive  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:   |   |  |
| NORTH:   |   |  |
| EAST:  |   |  |
| SOUTH:   |   |  |
| WEST:  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE   | D SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?   | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
| MIDDON DEVELOT MENT  | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?  | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EVICTING CDD AVAIL VAUTURA  |   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN THE PARCEL                                     | • Is the parcel free from development?  | large built up areas.  |
| THE PARCEL   | Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?  | laige built up areas.  |
|  | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?   |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF   | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?   |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT   | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
|  |   |  |
| DI IRROSE 2: DREVENT NEIGHBOURING  | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL   | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap  | Moderate Contribution. The parcel provides a degree of         |
| 200111011011111111111111111111111111111  | between named settlements?  | separation between the settlements of Slyne-with-Hest and      |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements?  | Bolton-le-Sands. However, this does not represent a strategic  |
|  | What is the current gap between these settlements:     What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed.   | gap between settlements due to the significant level of        |
|  | from the Green Belt?  | development which has taken place to the west.                 |
|  |   | development which has taken place to the west                  |
|  | La Mould the removal of the gap recult in the morging of two distinctly   |  |
|  | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly     separate settlement areas?   |  |
| DOLINDADY FEATURES   | separate settlement areas?  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul><li>separate settlement areas?</li><li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or</li></ul>   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> </ul>  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | <ul><li>separate settlement areas?</li><li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or</li></ul>   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> </ul>  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> </ul>  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | <ul> <li>separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | ASSESSMENT   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING                          | separate settlement areas?     Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?     What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?      THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  CRITERIA  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | <ul> <li>separate settlement areas?</li> <li>Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> <li>THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT</li> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what</li> </ul> | Moderate Contribution. The parcel has a range of boundaries    |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION | separate settlement areas?     Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?     What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?      THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT  CRITERIA  |  |

|  | Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?  | The parcel is well related to the settlement area, adjoining it on two sides. The topography of the parcel is undulating, sloping  |
|--|---|--|
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)        | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | upwards from the west, however it is relatively flat to the east which provides open views towards the middle of the parcel. The parcel has a limited relationship with the wider tracts of countryside further to the east due to the presence of the A6 and the elevated land beyond it. |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL          | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| <b>PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING A</b> | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND           | Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the   |
| ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING  | What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?  | definition of a historic town.   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG        | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION                 | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL             | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | No Contribution. The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.  |
|  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAR          | CEL   |  |
| MODERATE CONTRIBUTON The percel          | makes no contribution to three numbers and a moderate contribution to two n   | urnasas Overall this is classed as a maderate contribution. The  |

MODERATE CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes and a moderate contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel makes a moderate contribution to the prevention settlements merging together, such a contribution is limited given the scale of merging which has already taken place to the west. The strength of boundaries in this area vary however land is open and in agricultural use. The place has a poor relationship with the wider tracts of countryside to the east due to changes to topography.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH25              |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land North of Manor Lane | Slyne  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:                   | -1   |  |
| NORTH:                               |  |  |
| EAST:                                |  |  |
| SOUTH:                               |  |  |
| WEST:                                |  |  |
|                                      |  |  |
|                                      |  |  |
|                                      |  |  |
|                                      |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTE     |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT                   | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                        | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |
|                                      | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                       | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN      | Is the parcel free from development?   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |
| THE PARCEL                           | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> </ul> | large built up areas.  |
|                                      | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                                      |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF       | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                              |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT                       | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?          |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |  |
|                                      | · · ·  |  |
|                                      |  |  |
|                                      |  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING      | TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION             | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL               | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap           | Moderate Contribution. The parcel provides a degree of         |
|                                      | between named settlements?   | separation between the settlements of Slyne-with-Hest and      |
|                                      | What is the current gap between these settlements?                                   | Bolton-le-Sands. However, this does not represent a strategic  |
|                                      | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed              | gap between settlements due to the significant level of        |
|                                      | from the Green Belt?   | development which has taken place to the west.                 |
|                                      | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly                 |  |
|                                      | separate settlement areas?   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES                    | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or           |  |
|                                      | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                      |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT                   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |  |
|                                      |  |  |
|                                      |  |  |
|                                      |  |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT    |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Moderate Contribution. The parcel has a range of boundaries some of which may be vulnerable to future encroachment. The existing land use consists of open countryside in agricultural use. The parcel is well related to the settlement area, adjoining it on the southern boundary. The topography of the parcel is |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)                                      | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> | undulating, sloping steeply from the west toward the middle. There are no views from the western boundary across the parcel, however there are views to the south and east towards Slyne Road (A6). The parcel has a limited relationship with the wider tracts of countryside further to the east due to the         |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  OVERALL ASSESSMENT                    | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>  | presence of the A6 and the elevated land beyond it.   |
|  | ND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.   |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | • What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REG                                      | ENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND   |   |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> </ul>  | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK                                       | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |   |

### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

MODERATE CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes and a moderate contribution to two purposes. Overall this is classed as a moderate contribution. The parcel makes a moderate contribution to the prevention settlements merging together, such a contribution is limited given the scale of merging which has already taken place to the west. The strength of boundaries in this area vary however land is open and in agricultural use. The place has a poor relationship with the wider tracts of countryside to the east due to changes to topography.

| PARCEL REFERENCE: SWH26   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| SITE TITLE: Land to the East of Hanging Green Lane, Slyne           |  |  |  |
| PARCEL BOUNDARIES:  |  |  |  |
| NORTH:  |  |  |  |
| EAST:   |  |  |  |
| SOUTH:  |  |  |  |
| WEST:   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 1: CHECK THE UNRESTRICTED SPRAWL OF LARGE BUILT UP AREAS    |  |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |  |
| RIBBON DEVELOPMENT  | Does the parcel play a role in preventing ribbon development?                        | No Contribution. The parcel does not adjoin the large built up |  |
|   | Has the parcel already been compromised by ribbon development?                       | areas of Lancaster, Morecambe or Carnforth and therefore does  |  |
| LEVEL OF EXISTING SPRAWL WITHIN                                     | Is the parcel free from development?   | not have a role in checking the unrestricted sprawl from the   |  |
| THE PARCEL  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a sense of sprawl from urbanising features?</li> </ul> | large built up areas.  |  |
|   | Does this land form an extensive tract of land?                                      |  |  |
| OPPORTUNITIES FOR ROUNDING OFF                                      | How many sides is the parcel surrounded by development?                              |  |  |
| THE SETTLEMENT  | • Do opportunities exist to form a more sustainable pattern of development?          |  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
| PURPOSE 2: PREVENT NEIGHBOURING TOWNS FROM MERGING INTO ONE ANOTHER |  |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION  | CRITERIA   | ASSESSMENT   |  |
| LOCATION OF THE PARCEL  | Does the parcel form an essential, largely essential or less essential gap           | Moderate Contribution. The parcel provides a degree of         |  |
|   | between named settlements?   | separation between the settlements of Slyne-with-Hest and      |  |
|   | What is the current gap between these settlements?                                   | Bolton-le-Sands. However, this does not represent a strategic  |  |
|   | What would the gap between settlements be should this parcel be removed              | gap between settlements due to the significant level of        |  |
|   | from the Green Belt?   | development which has taken place to the west.                 |  |
|   | Would the removal of the gap result in the merging of two distinctly                 |  |  |
|   | separate settlement areas?   |  |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES   | Do natural features or infrastructure provide a strong physical barrier or           |  |  |
|   | boundary which mains the presence of a gap between settlements?                      |  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT  | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?            |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |

| PURPOSE 3: ASSIST IN SAFEGUARDING THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM ENCROACHMENT                      |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |  |
| BOUNDARY FEATURES  | <ul> <li>Does the parcel form part of the existing Green Belt boundary? If so what the boundary consist of?</li> <li>What do the other boundaries consist of?</li> <li>Are there strong and robust boundaries to contain development and prevent encroachment in the long term?</li> </ul>                | Weak Contribution. The parcel is used for the purposes of outdoor recreation which is consistent with GB purposes. The parcel uses a range of boundary features, including Hanging Green Lane which is a strong feature. However, there are some areas of significant weakness – particularly the northern boundary of the parcel which appear to use no definable features. This points could be vulnerable to future encroachment. The parcel has a strong relationship with the settlement area, adjoining it one two sides. The elevation of land to the east result in a low level of openness out of the site. |  |
| EXISTING URBAN FEATURES (OPENESS)  | <ul> <li>What are the existing land uses in the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> <li>What is the proximity and relationship to the settlement area?</li> <li>What is the parcels relationship with the countryside?</li> </ul> |  |  |
| EXISTING LAND USE OF THE PARCEL  | <ul> <li>What is the existing land use within the parcel?</li> <li>Does the parcel serve a beneficial use of the Green Belt which should be safeguarded?</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |  |
| PURPOSE 4: PRESERVE THE SETTING AND SPECIAL CHARACTER OF A HISTORIC TOWN                 |   |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |  |
| PROXIMITY TO HISTORIC TOWN AND ROL IN PRESERVING CHARACTER AND SETTING                   | <ul> <li>Is the nearest settlement to the parcel defined as a historic town?</li> <li>What role does the Green Belt play in preserving the setting and special character of the historic town?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution</b> . Slyne-with-Hest is not considered to meet the definition of a historic town.  |  |
| OVERALL ASSESSMENT   | What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?   |  |  |
| PURPOSE 5: ASSISTING IN URBAN REGENERATION BY ENCOURAGING AND RECYCLING OF DERELICT LAND |   |  |  |
| ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION   | CRITERIA  | ASSESSMENT   |  |
| URBAN REGENERATION POTENTIAL   | <ul> <li>Does the parcel have a relationship with an urban area?</li> <li>What potential does that urban area have for regeneration of brownfield sites (capacity)?</li> <li>What is the overall assessment of the parcel in relation to this purpose?</li> </ul>   | <b>No Contribution.</b> The parcel is adjacent to Slyne-with-Hest. Slyne-with-Hest has a 0% brownfield potential and the parcel therefore makes no contribution to this purpose.   |  |
| OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARK   |   |  |  |

### OVERALL CONTRIBUTION OF THE PARCEL

WEAK CONTRIBUTON The parcel makes no contribution to three purposes, a weak contribution to one purpose and moderate contribution to one purpose. Overall this classed as a weak contribution. The parcel makes a moderate contribution to the prevention settlements merging together, such a contribution is limited given the scale of merging which has already taken place to the west. The parcel has a strong relationship with the adjoining settlement area with weak boundaries to the north which are vulnerable to future encroachment. The parcel is visually separate from land to the east due to topography and well defined tree belt. This has significant impacts on its wider openness.